

Table of Contents

About the Author	v
Table of Chapters	vii
Preface	xxxi
How to Use This Book	xxxiii

Chapter 1 Definitions

§ 1:1	Purpose of the Definitions Section.....	1-2
§ 1:2	“Construction Provision”; How the Agreement Will Be Construed.....	1-2
§ 1:3	Does “Shall” Mean “Must” or “Maybe” or “If You Feel Like It”?.....	1-3
§ 1:3.1	Why Leave It Up to Chance?	1-4
§ 1:4	Defining Industry Jargon	1-5
§ 1:5	Defining Particular License Terms	1-7
§ 1:5.1	Affiliates	1-7
[A]	Affiliate Restrictions	1-9
[B]	Importance of Affiliate and Subsidiary Definitions	1-10
Table 1-1	Court’s Analysis of Corporate Relationships in <i>nQueue Inc. v. Control Systems (USA) Inc.</i>	1-13
§ 1:5.2	Best Efforts	1-17
§ 1:5.3	Combination Products.....	1-19
§ 1:5.4	Commercially Reasonable Efforts	1-20
[A]	Commercially Reasonable Efforts Contractually Defined.....	1-21
§ 1:5.5	Field of Use.....	1-23
§ 1:5.6	First Commercial Sale	1-23
§ 1:5.7	Improvements	1-24
§ 1:5.8	“Know-How” or “Technology”	1-27
§ 1:5.9	“Licensor” and “Licensee”.....	1-31
§ 1:6	Case Study: Ambiguities in License Agreements	1-31
§ 1:6.1	The Devil Is in the Details (and the Definitions).....	1-32
[A]	Licensee’s Interpretation.....	1-35
[B]	Patentee’s Interpretation.....	1-35

[C]	Federal Circuit's Analysis of Ambiguous Language.....	1-35
[D]	Construction Against the Drafter	1-36
[E]	<i>Contra Proferentum</i> Only After Construction of the License Agreement.....	1-37
§ 1:7	Why Patentees Should Consider Licensing Their Patents.....	1-38
	Examples.....	1 EX-1

Chapter 2 Grant of License

§ 2:1	Operative Words	2-2
§ 2:2	Unsophisticated Licensors	2-4
§ 2:3	Exclusivity	2-4
§ 2:4	Fields of Use: Cherry-Picking the Best Licensees in Each Industry	2-6
§ 2:4.1	Choosing Licensees.....	2-6
[A]	Exclusive Licensing.....	2-6
[B]	Nonexclusive Licensing	2-6
[C]	Selective Licensing Using Fields of Use.....	2-7
§ 2:4.2	What If the Licensee Practices Outside Its Field of Use?	2-7
§ 2:4.3	Effect of <i>Macom</i>	2-9
§ 2:4.4	Appropriate Contractual Language.....	2-10
§ 2:5	Sublicenses	2-10
§ 2:5.1	Reasons to Sublicense.....	2-10
§ 2:5.2	Sublicense Safeguards	2-12
[A]	Approval of Sublicensee	2-12
[B]	Stepping into the Licensee's Shoes.....	2-13
[C]	Revenue Participation	2-15
[D]	Controlling the Sublicensee	2-15
[D][1]	Exclusivity Required.....	2-15
[D][2]	Sublicenses Endure.....	2-16
[D][3]	Sublicenses to Contain Same Terms As License	2-16
[D][4]	Verification.....	2-17
[D][5]	The Complete Sublicense Grant Section.....	2-17
§ 2:6	Improvements	2-18
§ 2:6.1	Who Owns the Improvements?	2-18
§ 2:6.2	Assignments and Grantbacks	2-20
§ 2:6.3	Sample Assignment and Grantback Provisions.....	2-21
§ 2:6.4	Should the More Experienced Party Control the Rights to the Improvements?	2-22
§ 2:6.5	Where Improvement Renders Invention Obsolete	2-22

Table of Contents

§ 2:7 Improvements Included in Grant 2-22

§ 2:8 “Irrevocable” Means Irrevocable 2-24

 § 2:8.1 When Is the Term “Irrevocable” Typically Used?2-24

 [A] Patent Infringement Litigation Settlements 2-24

 [B] Prepaid Licenses 2-25

 [C] Bargaining Leverage 2-25

 § 2:8.2 Is “Irrevocable” a Meaningless or Throwaway Term? 2-25

 § 2:8.3 What If the License Is “Perpetual”? 2-27

 § 2:8.4 Some Drafting Suggestions 2-28

§ 2:9 Reservation of Rights: Those Not Specifically Granted Are Retained 2-29

§ 2:10 March-In Rights: Obligations Arising from Federal Funding 2-30

§ 2:11 Most Favored Nation 2-32

 § 2:11.1 All-or-Nothing Option 2-33

 § 2:11.2 More Flexible Approach 2-34

 § 2:11.3 No Retroactive Effect 2-35

 § 2:11.4 Administrative Burden 2-36

 § 2:11.5 Government Sales Exclusion 2-36

 § 2:11.6 Government Regulation Exclusion 2-37

§ 2:12 Reservation of Rights: To Practice the Invention..... 2-37

 § 2:12.1 Licensor’s Own Use 2-37

 § 2:12.2 Licensor Grant to Third Parties 2-38

 § 2:12.3 Confidentiality 2-40

 § 2:12.4 Savings Clauses (Exclusivity) 2-42

 § 2:12.5 Savings Clauses (Scope of License Grant) 2-43

§ 2:13 Limiting the Scope of the License Grant for Competitive Reasons 2-43

§ 2:14 Limiting Uses of the Patent 2-45

§ 2:15 Contract Interpretation: The *Wi-LAN USA* Case 2-45

 § 2:15.1 Contract Provisions 2-45

 § 2:15.2 District Court Rulings 2-48

 § 2:15.3 Federal Circuit Decision 2-49

 [A] Non-Assert Provision 2-49

 [B] Most Favored Licensee Provision 2-51

 § 2:15.4 Conclusion 2-53

Examples..... 2 EX-1

Chapter 3 Term and Termination

§ 3:1 Duration..... 3-2

 § 3:1.1 Life of the Patent..... 3-2

 § 3:1.2 Number of Years 3-2

§ 3:2	Grounds for Termination.....	3-3
§ 3:2.1	Acquisition of Licensee by a Competitor.....	3-3
§ 3:2.2	Failure to Pay Royalties	3-4
§ 3:2.3	Failure to Pay Minimum Royalty	3-4
§ 3:2.4	Lack of Royalty Payments Attributable to Failure to Exploit the License.....	3-5
§ 3:2.5	Marketing a Competing Product Combined with Failure to Achieve Royalty Goal.....	3-6
§ 3:3	Curing Breach to Avoid Termination	3-7
§ 3:4	Duties and Rights of Licensee upon Termination	3-8
§ 3:4.1	Duty to Return Confidential Information.....	3-8
§ 3:4.2	Duty to Cease Further Sublicensing.....	3-8
§ 3:4.3	Right to Dispose of Inventory	3-9
[A]	Minimum Inventory Value	3-9
[B]	Time Limit in Which to Sell Inventory.....	3-10
§ 3:5	Treatment of Patent Licenses in Bankruptcy.....	3-11
§ 3:5.1	Executory Contracts	3-11
§ 3:5.2	Assignment of Nonexclusive Licenses in Bankruptcy	3-11
§ 3:5.3	Assignment of Exclusive Licenses in Bankruptcy	3-12
§ 3:5.4	How a Licensor May Protect Itself in Bankruptcy	3-14
§ 3:5.5	Obligation to Pay Royalties	3-14
§ 3:5.6	Can Foreign Bankruptcy Law Trump Section 365(n)?	3-15
§ 3:5.7	Contractual Provisions	3-18
§ 3:5.8	Separating the Right to Receive Royalties from Ownership of the Patents	3-20
Examples.....		3 EX-1

Chapter 4 Royalties

§ 4:1	The Heart of the License	4-2
§ 4:2	Upfront or “Signing” Fees	4-2
§ 4:3	Upfront Versus Prepaid Royalties.....	4-3
§ 4:4	Are Royalties Refundable If Licensed Patents Are Invalidated?	4-4
§ 4:5	Does Licensor Have a Fiduciary Duty to Its Licensees?.....	4-5
§ 4:5.1	Pro-Licensor Upfront Provision	4-5
§ 4:5.2	Another Upfront Provision	4-6

Table of Contents

§ 4:5.3	Common Versus Preferred Stock	4-7
§ 4:5.4	Stock Warrants	4-8
§ 4:6	Research-Funding Royalties	4-11
§ 4:7	Milestone Payments	4-11
§ 4:8	Minimum Royalty	4-13
§ 4:9	Royalties Based on Sales (Earned Royalties)	4-14
§ 4:9.1	Generally	4-14
§ 4:9.2	Valuation of Sales	4-14
§ 4:9.3	Affiliate or Sublicensee Sales	4-16
§ 4:9.4	Combination Sales	4-16
§ 4:9.5	Government Sales	4-19
§ 4:9.6	No Multiple Royalties	4-19
§ 4:9.7	Deduction for Taxes	4-20
§ 4:9.8	Volume Discounts	4-21
§ 4:9.9	When Royalties Accrue	4-22
§ 4:9.10	Double Royalties	4-23
§ 4:10	Royalty Rates Set for Convenience of the Parties	4-24
§ 4:11	Government-Imposed Royalty Ceilings	4-25
§ 4:12	Royalties Paid by Licensees Outside the United States	4-26
§ 4:12.1	Currency Conversion Date	4-26
§ 4:12.2	Repatriation of Profits	4-26
§ 4:13	Enforcing Payment Obligations	4-27
§ 4:14	Royalty Payments If Patent Not Yet Issued	4-28
§ 4:15	Expiration of the Patent	4-29
§ 4:16	Royalties After Patent Expiration	4-29
§ 4:16.1	Absolute Bar (<i>Brulotte v. Thys Co.</i>)	4-29
§ 4:16.2	Absolute Bar Criticized (<i>Scheiber v. Dolby Laboratories</i>)	4-30
§ 4:16.3	<i>Brulotte</i> Not Always Followed (But Must Be Followed)	4-31
§ 4:16.4	Supreme Court: <i>Brulotte</i> Must Be Followed (<i>Kimble v. Marvel Enterprises, Inc.</i>)	4-32
§ 4:16.5	Practical Effects of <i>Brulotte</i>	4-33
§ 4:17	Hybrid Licensing: Split Royalty Rates for Patents and Trade Secrets	4-34
§ 4:17.1	Two Separate Royalties	4-34
§ 4:17.2	Determining the Rates	4-35
§ 4:18	Determining Royalty Rates	4-35
§ 4:19	Definition of Sublicense Consideration	4-36
Examples		4 EX-1

Chapter 5 Record Keeping and Reports

§ 5:1 Importance of Record Retention 5-1

§ 5:2 Duration of Record Retention 5-2

§ 5:3 Certification of Royalty Statements 5-3

 § 5:3.1 Who Should Sign? 5-3

§ 5:4 Right to Audit 5-5

 § 5:4.1 Justification 5-5

 § 5:4.2 Causes of Underreporting 5-5

 § 5:4.3 Who Pays 5-6

 § 5:4.4 Minimizing Inconvenience 5-8

 § 5:4.5 Certified Public Accounting Firms 5-9

 § 5:4.6 Frequency 5-10

 § 5:4.7 Confidentiality 5-11

 § 5:4.8 Information Revealed to Licensor 5-12

 § 5:4.9 Copies of Licensee’s Records 5-13

 § 5:4.10 Copies of the Audit 5-14

§ 5:5 Licensee’s Audited Financial Statements 5-14

§ 5:6 Small-Fry Provisions 5-15

§ 5:7 Information to Be Reported 5-15

Examples 5 EX-1

Chapter 6 Prosecution and Maintenance

§ 6:1 Importance of Maintenance and Prosecution of
Licensed Patent Rights 6-2

§ 6:2 Control over the Process 6-2

§ 6:3 Improvements 6-4

§ 6:4 No Burden, No Benefit 6-5

§ 6:5 Apportionment of Prosecution Costs 6-5

§ 6:6 PTO Maintenance Fees 6-6

§ 6:7 Incapacitation 6-7

§ 6:8 Importance of Patent Marking 6-7

 § 6:8.1 Marking Not Required for Method or
 Process Claims 6-9

 § 6:8.2 False Marking 6-10

 [A] Changes Under the America Invents Act 6-10

 [A][1] Private Party Damages: What Is the Standard
 for Proving Competitive Injury? 6-11

 [A][2] Examples of Competitive Injury 6-13

 [A][3] Must a False Marking Plaintiff Be an
 Actual Competitor or Merely a
 Potential Competitor? 6-14

Table of Contents

[B] *Pequignot v. Solo Cup Co.* 6-15

[B][1] Article Covered by an Expired Patent Is
“Unpatented” 6-17

[B][2] Intent to Deceive Required (“For the
Purpose of Deceiving the Public”)..... 6-17

[B][2][a] Rebuttable Presumption of Intent to
Deceive 6-17

[B][2][b] Knowledge Is Insufficient to Prove Intent
If the Marker Did Not Consciously Desire
That the Public Be Deceived 6-18

[B][2][c] Burden of Proof Is Preponderance of
Evidence..... 6-19

[B][2][d] Rebutting the Presumption: Expired
Patent Markings 6-19

[B][2][e] Rebutting the Presumption: “May Be
Covered” Language..... 6-20

[B][3] Conclusion..... 6-21

[C] *Forest Group, Inc. v. Bon Tool Co.* 6-21

[C][1] Intent to Deceive..... 6-21

[C][2] Penalties Must Be Imposed on a Per-Article
Basis..... 6-22

[C][2][a] Statutory Language..... 6-23

[C][2][b] Policy Considerations..... 6-23

[C][2][c] The Qui Tam Provision..... 6-24

[C][2][d] Comfort Language? 6-25

[C][2][e] Conclusion..... 6-25

[D] Practice Tips 6-26

[E] Contractual Provisions 6-27

[E][1] Obligate the Licensee to Properly Mark 6-27

[E][2] Prohibit Licensee from Removing Marks 6-27

[E][3] Licensor Responsible for Accuracy 6-28

[E][4] Licensor Obligation to Inform When to
Discontinue Marking 6-28

[E][5] Licensor Indemnification for Incorrect
Information..... 6-28

§ 6:8.3 Possible Loopholes 6-29

Examples..... 6 EX-1

Chapter 7 Infringement

§ 7:1 Key Issues 7-1

§ 7:2 Licensee Standing to Bring Suit 7-2

§ 7:2.1 Exclusivity 7-2

§ 7:2.2 The Determining Factors..... 7-3

§ 7:2.3	The Nine Factors of <i>Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Electronics Co.</i>	7-5
§ 7:2.4	Five Rights That Destroy Standing	7-6
§ 7:2.5	Does Tallying Retained Rights Control?	7-7
§ 7:2.6	What If Licensee Declines to Sue an Infringer?	7-8
§ 7:3	Which Party to Bring Suit?	7-8
§ 7:4	Ability to Name the Licensor	7-10
§ 7:5	Who Pays If Licensor Is Named by Licensee?	7-10
§ 7:6	Licensor Consent to Settlement Reached by Licensee	7-12
§ 7:7	Indemnifying the Licensor from Sanctions	7-12
§ 7:8	Licensor May Sue If Licensee Does Not	7-12
§ 7:9	Licensor's Protection in Declaratory Judgment Actions	7-13
§ 7:10	Licensee Not to Encourage Others to Infringe	7-14
Examples	7 EX-1

Chapter 8 Indemnification

§ 8:1	Purpose of Indemnification	8-2
§ 8:2	Infringement Indemnity	8-2
§ 8:3	California Law Considerations	8-3
§ 8:3.1	Flexibility	8-3
§ 8:3.2	Section 2778 of the Civil Code	8-4
§ 8:3.3	Parties May Opt Out of Section 2778	8-5
§ 8:3.4	Duty to Defend	8-5
[A]	Duty to Defend Is Different from Duty to Pay Defense Costs	8-5
[B]	Duty Is Statutory, but May Be Excluded	8-6
[C]	Damages	8-6
[D]	Duty to Defend Arises Immediately Upon Tender	8-7
[E]	Circumstances in Which the Duty Would Terminate	8-7
§ 8:3.5	Indemnity for Intellectual Property Infringement Can Be Parsed	8-8
§ 8:4	What Is Protected	8-8
§ 8:5	"Maximum Extent Permitted by Law"	8-9
§ 8:6	Indemnification of Sublicensees.	8-9
§ 8:7	Immediate Payment	8-9
§ 8:8	Threshold Amount	8-10
§ 8:9	Indemnification to Survive License Termination	8-11

Table of Contents

§ 8:10 No Personal Liability; No Piercing the Corporate Veil..... 8-11

§ 8:11 Failure to Defend..... 8-11

§ 8:12 Indemnitee Responsible for Aggravated Damages..... 8-12

§ 8:13 Mitigation..... 8-12

§ 8:14 Keeping the Indemnitee Honest..... 8-13

§ 8:15 Indemnification on an After-Tax Basis..... 8-13

§ 8:16 Infringement Excluded from Indemnification..... 8-14

§ 8:17 Ways in Which Licensors Reduce Their Indemnity Risk..... 8-15

 § 8:17.1 Indemnification Limited to Patents of Which Licensor Is Aware As of Effective Date 8-15

 § 8:17.2 Indemnification Limited to Capped Amounts 8-16

 § 8:17.3 Indemnification Obligation Satisfied by Corrective Acts 8-17

 § 8:17.4 Indemnification Limited by Licensee’s Acts..... 8-18

Examples..... 8 EX-1

Chapter 9 Export Controls

§ 9:1 Exporting Technology: Scope of Federal Regulation..... 9-1

§ 9:2 Delegating Responsibility to the Licensee 9-2

§ 9:3 Acknowledging Possible Prohibition or Delay 9-3

§ 9:4 Caveat for Licensees Regarding Vague Responsibilities..... 9-3

§ 9:5 Caveat for Licensors Regarding Representations of Compliance 9-4

§ 9:6 No Contravention of the Law 9-5

§ 9:7 Indemnification 9-6

Examples..... 9 EX-1

Chapter 10 Use of Licensor’s Names

§ 10:1 Why Use of Licensor’s Names Is Important 10-1

§ 10:2 Allowing Use: Potential Advantages to Licensor 10-1

§ 10:3 Protecting Image, Reputation, and Goodwill..... 10-2

§ 10:4 Enforcing Proper Behavior..... 10-3

§ 10:5 Prohibition of Use 10-3

§ 10:6 Exception for Public Filing and Financing Efforts..... 10-4

§ 10:7 Quality Controls and Product Monitoring 10-5

Examples..... 10 EX-1

Chapter 11 Representations and Warranties

§ 11:1 Introduction 11-1

§ 11:2 Representation of Validity: No Prior Art Withheld
During Prosecution..... 11-2

§ 11:3 Simple Representation of Ownership..... 11-2

§ 11:4 More Complete Representation of Ownership 11-2

 § 11:4.1 Inventorship 11-3

 § 11:4.2 No Further Rights Required 11-5

 § 11:4.3 Patent Application Status..... 11-5

 § 11:4.4 No Dilution of Exclusivity 11-6

 § 11:4.5 Clean Title..... 11-6

§ 11:5 Overbroad Representations 11-7

§ 11:6 Representations Regarding Technical Soundness 11-8

§ 11:7 Representations Regarding Infringement 11-9

Examples..... 11 EX-1

Chapter 12 Licensee Efforts

§ 12:1 Licensee Efforts Obligation Implied by Law?..... 12-2

 § 12:1.1 Exclusive Licensee Obligation (“Putting All
Your Eggs in One Basket”) 12-2

 § 12:1.2 Upfront Royalty Exhausts Implied Obligation? 12-3

§ 12:2 Why Licensors Want to Impose Obligations for
Licensees’ Efforts 12-4

§ 12:3 Discretion in Choice of Initial Markets 12-6

§ 12:4 Minimum Expenditures..... 12-6

§ 12:5 Specified Efforts Towards Commercialization 12-7

§ 12:6 Specified Obligations Are Several..... 12-10

§ 12:7 Licensee May Not Engage in Conflicting Activities 12-10

§ 12:8 Licensor’s Technical Assistance to Licensee..... 12-11

 § 12:8.1 Literature..... 12-12

 § 12:8.2 Logistics and Expenses..... 12-12

 [A] Mutual Training Obligations..... 12-12

 [B] Licensor’s Training Obligations to Licensee..... 12-13

 [C] Limit to Number of Licensee Employees
Trained 12-14

 [D] Frequency of Training Sessions 12-14

 [E] Not Licensee’s Employees 12-15

 [F] Liability and Insurance 12-15

 [G] Cap on Licensor’s Costs 12-16

 [H] Interference with Licensor’s Operations 12-16

 § 12:8.3 Limit to Information Requests..... 12-16

Table of Contents

§ 12:9 Improvements 12-18
 § 12:9.1 Notice of Improvements 12-18
 § 12:9.2 Improvements to Be Included in License 12-19
 § 12:9.3 Abandonment of Patent Rights to
 Improvement 12-20
§ 12:10 Collaboration 12-21
§ 12:11 Outline of the FDA Approval Process 12-21
Examples 12 EX-1

Chapter 13 Confidentiality

§13:1 Why the Need 13-1
§13:2 Proper Use of Confidential Information 13-2
§13:3 No Reverse Engineering 13-2
§13:4 Samples 13-3
§13:5 Arbitration 13-3
§13:6 Terms of the Agreement 13-4
§13:7 Defining Confidential Information 13-5
Examples 13 EX-1

Chapter 14 Arbitration

§ 14:1 Patent-Related Arbitration 14-1
§ 14:2 Secrecy 14-2
§ 14:3 “Arising Out of” Versus “Relating To” 14-3
§ 14:4 Arbitrator Experienced in Patent Infringement 14-4
§ 14:5 Exempting Infringement 14-5
§ 14:6 Limiting Discovery 14-5
§ 14:7 Arbitrator Expertise 14-6
§ 14:8 Limitation on Damages Awardable 14-7
§ 14:9 Venue and Choice of Law 14-8
§ 14:10 Further Restrictions on the Arbitrator 14-9
§ 14:11 Patent Issues Excluded from Arbitration 14-9
Examples 14 EX-1

Chapter 15 Miscellaneous

§ 15:1 Assignment 15-2
 § 15:1.1 Nonexclusive Licenses Not Presumed
 Assignable 15-2
 § 15:1.2 Exclusive Patent Licenses Presumed
 Nonassignable? 15-3

§ 15:1.3	Assignments of Patents Covered by a Patent License Agreement Versus Assignments of Patent License Agreements	15-3
§ 15:1.4	Don't Confuse Assignment with Change-in-Control Clauses	15-5
[A]	Courts Will Not Imply a Change-in-Control Restriction	15-5
[B]	Need to Expressly Provide for Mergers and Acquisitions.....	15-5
[C]	Drafters Should Consider Both Change of Control and Non-Assignment Clauses	15-6
[D]	Law Applied to Change of Control.....	15-6
[D][1]	Federal Law	15-7
[D][2]	State Law.....	15-8
§ 15:1.5	Consent of Licensor.....	15-9
§ 15:1.6	Participation in Any Consideration for the Assignment.....	15-10
§ 15:1.7	Assignee Assumes Obligations	15-11
§ 15:1.8	Assignment Not a Release of Licensee's Accrued Obligations	15-11
§ 15:1.9	Licensee Guarantees Assignee Performance.....	15-11
§ 15:1.10	Transfer of Business	15-12
§ 15:1.11	Transfer Limited to Reorganizations	15-12
§ 15:1.12	De Facto Assignments	15-13
§ 15:2	Change of Control	15-13
§ 15:3	Merger	15-14
§ 15:4	Severability	15-15
§ 15:4.1	Basic Provision.....	15-15
§ 15:4.2	Reformation by the Parties.....	15-15
§ 15:4.3	Reformation by the Court.....	15-16
§ 15:4.4	Patent Invalidity: Reformation by Contract.....	15-17
§ 15:4.5	Waiver of Invalid Provisions.....	15-17
§ 15:4.6	All-Inclusive Provision.....	15-18
§ 15:5	Patent Marking.....	15-19
§ 15:5.1	Patent Marking by Licensees.....	15-19
§ 15:5.2	Patent Marking Requirements in License Agreements.....	15-20
§ 15:5.3	Failure to Mark.....	15-21
§ 15:6	Waiver.....	15-21
§ 15:7	Notice.....	15-22
§ 15:8	Independent Contractors	15-22
§ 15:9	Counterparts	15-23
§ 15:10	Force Majeure and Hardship.....	15-23
§ 15:11	Further Assurances	15-24

Table of Contents

§ 15:12 Governing Law (Without Venue or Jurisdiction Provisions)..... 15-25

§ 15:13 Jurisdiction, Venue, and Service..... 15-25

§ 15:14 United States Manufacture..... 15-26

§ 15:15 Most Favored Licensee..... 15-26

§ 15:16 Reservations 15-28

§ 15:17 Reverse Engineering..... 15-29

§ 15:18 Construction 15-31

§ 15:19 Headings..... 15-31

§ 15:20 No Finder’s Fee 15-31

§ 15:21 Costs of Agreement 15-32

§ 15:22 No Strict Construction 15-32

§ 15:23 Publicity 15-32

§ 15:24 Consent..... 15-34

 § 15:24.1 Reasons for Withholding of Consent..... 15-35

§ 15:25 Taxes 15-35

§ 15:26 No Endorsement..... 15-36

§ 15:27 No Third-Party Beneficiaries..... 15-37

§ 15:28 Effect of Merger on Third-Party Infringement..... 15-37

§ 15:29 Amendments..... 15-38

§ 15:30 Agreement Not to Solicit Employees 15-38

§ 15:31 Intellectual Property of Inventor’s Spouse 15-38

§ 15:32 Compliance with Law..... 15-39

§ 15:33 Governmental Approvals..... 15-39

§ 15:34 No Fiduciary Duties 15-40

Examples..... 15 EX-1

Chapter 16 License Negotiations

§ 16:1 Introduction 16-1

§ 16:2 Long-Term Relationship..... 16-1

 § 16:2.1 Multiple Nonexclusive Licenses..... 16-2

§ 16:3 Planning 16-2

 § 16:3.1 Know Your Position 16-2

 § 16:3.2 Put Expectations in Writing 16-3

 § 16:3.3 Deal Points 16-4

§ 16:4 Useful Habits for Negotiators 16-5

 § 16:4.1 Listen and Observe 16-5

 § 16:4.2 Ask If You Do Not Understand..... 16-6

 § 16:4.3 Be Brief and Clear 16-7

§ 16:5 Patterns of Negotiating Behavior..... 16-7

 § 16:5.1 Good Cop/Bad Cop 16-7

 § 16:5.2 The Backtracking Negotiator 16-8

§ 16:5.3	The Intimidator.....	16-8
§ 16:5.4	How to Walk Away from a Negotiation.....	16-9
§ 16:5.5	If the Other Side Walks Away	16-11
[A]	When You Are at Fault.....	16-11
[B]	When the Other Side Is at Fault	16-11
§ 16:5.6	The Phantom Boss	16-12
§ 16:5.7	Keep Your Eye on the Ball	16-12

Chapter 17 Strategies for the New Patent Law Frontier

§ 17:1	Strategies Are Needed to Address Changes in the Law.....	17-5
§ 17:2	Declaratory Judgments: The New Frontier	17-6
§ 17:2.1	<i>MedImmune</i>	17-6
[A]	Facts	17-6
[B]	Holding.....	17-6
§ 17:2.2	<i>SanDisk</i> : Federal Circuit Construes <i>MedImmune</i>	17-7
[A]	Facts	17-8
[B]	Holding Creates New Test for Conduct Prior to Existence of License.....	17-11
[C]	Promise Not to Sue: Actions Speak Louder Than Words.....	17-12
[D]	What's a Patentee to Do? The Federal Circuit Offers Advice	17-13
[E]	What Is a "Suitable" Confidentiality Agreement?.....	17-14
[E][1]	Confidentiality	17-14
[E][2]	Nonuse.....	17-14
[E][3]	Nonlitigation Provision	17-15
§ 17:3	Tactics and Strategies After <i>MedImmune</i> and <i>SanDisk</i>	17-15
§ 17:3.1	Licensee Tactics	17-15
[A]	Limiting Infringement Damages Under the Guise of a License Agreement.....	17-15
[B]	Attempting to Renegotiate License Terms	17-16
§ 17:3.2	Second Circuit's <i>Speakeasy</i> Case: Under <i>Lear, Inc. v. Adkins</i> , No-Challenge Clauses Unenforceable If Entered into Before Litigation	17-16
[A]	Background.....	17-16
[B]	The <i>Lear</i> Case	17-18
[C]	Four Ways That Patent Disputes Are Resolved.....	17-19
[D]	Reasoning of <i>MCA</i> Is Persuasive	17-21

Table of Contents

[E]	Absence of Discovery Forecloses Opportunity to Assess Patent Validity	17-21
[F]	Distinguishing Federal Circuit’s <i>Baseload Energy, Inc.</i>	17-22
[G]	<i>Lear</i> Leaves Some Discretion: The Balancing Test	17-23
[H]	Comments and Questions About <i>Speakeasy</i>	17-24
[H][1]	No Reference to <i>MedImmune</i>	17-24
[H][2]	Federal Circuit Declined Jurisdiction.....	17-24
[H][3]	Scope of the Decision?.....	17-24
[H][4]	Will the Decision Encourage Litigation?.....	17-25
[H][5]	Liquidated Damages Prohibited?	17-25
[H][6]	Alternate Dispute Resolution?.....	17-25
§ 17:3.3	Licensor Tactics	17-25
[A]	Severability and Blue Line Provisions.....	17-25
[B]	Prohibition on Challenges	17-26
[B][1]	Prohibition More Favorable to Licensee.....	17-27
[C]	Termination upon Challenge	17-28
[D]	Termination with Cure	17-28
[E]	Termination with Cure by Dismissal of Action.....	17-29
[F]	Prepaid and Signing Royalties	17-29
[G]	No Recoupment of Money Paid.....	17-30
[H]	Royalty Payments Continue During Declaratory Judgment Action.....	17-30
[H][1]	Royalty Payments Not Escrowed	17-30
[H][2]	Must the Licensee Repudiate the License Agreement?	17-30
[I]	Increased Royalties upon Bringing a Declaratory Judgment Action.....	17-31
[J]	Increased Royalties upon Losing Declaratory Judgment Action.....	17-31
[K]	Signing Royalties Nonrefundable	17-32
[L]	Licensee Pays Patentee’s Attorneys’ Fees	17-32
[L][1]	Attorneys’ Fees If Challenge Is Brought.....	17-32
[L][2]	Attorneys’ Fees If Challenge Is Unsuccessful....	17-32
[M]	Forum Selection Clause	17-33
[M][1]	Can Forum Selection Clauses Ban IPRs?.....	17-33
[N]	File First, Negotiate Later.....	17-36
[O]	Licensee Admissions.....	17-37
[P]	Definition of “Patent Challenge”	17-37
[Q]	Controlling Sublicensees.....	17-38
[R]	No Termination for Challenge As Defense Outside Scope of License	17-38

	[S]	Drop Dead; No Opportunity to Cure	17-39
	[T]	No Future Challenges	17-40
	[U]	“Show Me Your Cards”	17-40
	[V]	Validity Challenges: Case Law at a Glance.....	17-41
	[W]	Be Creative	17-44
	[X]	Do Not Forget Sublicensees	17-45
	[Y]	Solicitation Letters.....	17-46
	[Z]	Advantages of Arbitration	17-47
	§ 17:3.4	Conclusion	17-47
§ 17:4		Patent Exhaustion.....	17-47
	§ 17:4.1	What Is Patent Exhaustion?	17-47
	§ 17:4.2	<i>Impression Products, Inc. v. Lexmark</i> <i>International, Inc.</i>	17-48
	[A]	Effects on Licensing	17-48
	[B]	Advantage of Patent Infringement Suits over Enforcement of Contract	17-49
	[C]	Loophole?	17-50
Figure 17-1		Who Can Be Sued Where Sale Is Unauthorized.....	17-51
	[D]	Buyer Knowledge Is Everything.....	17-51
Figure 17-2		When Purchaser from Licensee Has Knowledge of Restriction	17-52
Figure 17-3		When Purchaser from Licensee Has No Knowledge of Restriction	17-52
	[E]	License Instead of Sell?	17-53
	[F]	Lease Instead of Sell?	17-53
	[G]	Contract Restrictions	17-54
	[H]	What Will Likely Happen?	17-55
	[I]	Two Is Better Than One?	17-55
	[J]	Take the Money Up Front.....	17-56
	§ 17:4.3	<i>Quanta Computer</i>	17-56
	[A]	Background.....	17-56
Figure 17-4		Entities in <i>Quanta Computer</i>	17-58
	[B]	Facts in <i>Quanta Computer</i>	17-58
	[C]	Reliance on <i>Univis Lens</i> Case.....	17-59
	[D]	Patent Exhaustion Applies to Method Claims.....	17-60
	[E]	A Product Must Embody a Patent to Trigger Exhaustion.....	17-61
	[F]	Patent Exhaustion Can Be Applied Across Patents.....	17-63
	[G]	Exhaustion Is Triggered Only by a Sale Authorized by the Patent Holder	17-63
	[H]	Authorized Sale Exhausts the Patent Right	17-64

Table of Contents

§ 17:4.4	What <i>Quanta</i> Means to Practitioners	17-65
[A]	The Contracts and Conditions in <i>Quanta</i>	17-65
[B]	Restrictions or Conditions Should Be in the License Agreement.....	17-66
[C]	Are Restrictions and Conditions Still Allowed?	17-67
[D]	What Conditions or Restrictions Are Available?	17-68
[E]	Reasons for Restricted Licenses.....	17-69
[F]	Restrictions in Practice	17-70
[G]	Separately Licensing Consumers.....	17-70
[H]	Digital Rights Management.....	17-71
[I]	License but Do Not Sell (Software Model)	17-71
[J]	Higher Up-Front Royalties	17-71
[K]	Confirmation That Purchaser Is Separately Licensed.....	17-72
[L]	Termination of License Grant for Breach	17-72
[M]	When Does a Sale Exhaust the Patent?	17-72
[N]	Is It Helpful to State Whether Licensed Products “Substantially Embody” the Licensed Patent?	17-74
[O]	Disclaimers Alone Not Enough (Implied License Distinguished from Patent Exhaustion)	17-74
[P]	Practice Points	17-74
[Q]	Effect on Downstream “Infringers”	17-75
[R]	Contract Remedies	17-75
[R][1]	Patentee May Enforce Additional Restrictions by Contract	17-75
[R][2]	Privity of Contract.....	17-76
[S]	Importance of Selecting Licensees.....	17-76
[T]	How Can a Purchaser Protect Itself?	17-77
[U]	Restrictions in Biopharma Industry	17-77
[V]	Conclusion: <i>Quanta</i> in a (Very Small) Nutshell.....	17-78
§ 17:5	Cases Construing <i>Quanta</i>	17-78
§ 17:5.1	<i>Keurig, Inc. v. Sturm Foods, Inc.</i> : Consumer Use Not Available As an End Run.....	17-78
§ 17:5.2	<i>In re TR Labs Patent Litigation</i> : Offering a Covenant Not to Sue Equals Patent Exhaustion.....	17-79
[A]	Offer but No Agreement	17-79
[B]	Covenants Not to Sue Equal Licenses	17-80
[C]	Under Patent Exhaustion, Covenant Equals License	17-80

[D]	Patent Exhaustion: Offer Has Same Effect As Executed Agreement	17-80
[E]	Practice Points	17-81
§ 17:5.3	<i>TransCore, LP v. Electronic Transaction Consultants Corp.</i>	17-82
[A]	Facts	17-82
[B]	Holding.....	17-83
[C]	Federal Circuit’s Analysis	17-83
[C][1]	Effect of the Release.....	17-86
[C][2]	Effect of Legal Estoppel.....	17-86
[D]	Settlement Agreements Are Susceptible.....	17-88
[E]	Practice Points	17-88
[F]	Does a Covenant Not to Sue Equal a License?	17-89
§ 17:5.4	<i>Tessera, Inc. v. International Trade Commission: Failure to Pay Royalties Does Not Make Sales Unauthorized</i>	17-89
§ 17:5.5	<i>Helperich Patent Licensing, LLC v. New York Times Co.: Patent Exhaustion Does Not Apply to “Multiple Related and Separately Patentable Inventions”</i>	17-90
[A]	Facts	17-90
[B]	Plaintiff’s Licensing Practices	17-91
[C]	Does Patent Exhaustion Apply?	17-91
[D]	Practice Points	17-94
§ 17:5.6	<i>LG Electronics, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd.</i>	17-95
[A]	Facts	17-95
[B]	Decision	17-95
[B][1]	Foreign Sales (Territorial Requirement).....	17-95
[C]	Did <i>Quanta</i> Eliminate the Territorial Requirement?.....	17-98
[C][1]	<i>Ninestar Technologies v. International Trade Commission</i>	17-98
[C][2]	<i>Fujifilm Corp. v. Benun</i>	17-100
[C][3]	Patent Exhaustion: Federal Circuit Stays the Course.....	17-100
[D]	International Exhaustion.....	17-103
§ 17:5.7	<i>Fujifilm Corp. v. Benun</i>	17-103
§ 17:5.8	<i>Static Control Components v. Lexmark International, Inc.</i>	17-104
[A]	Facts	17-104
[B]	Interpretation of <i>Quanta</i>	17-105
[C]	Consideration of <i>LG Electronics, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd.</i>	17-108
[D]	Conclusion: <i>Quanta</i> Has Changed the Game	17-109

Table of Contents

§ 17:6 *Bowman v. Monsanto*: Supreme Court Plants
Seeds of Content? 17-109

§ 17:6.1 Facts of the Case..... 17-109

§ 17:6.2 Holding: Limited to Seeds? 17-109

§ 17:6.3 Dicta Related to Licensing: Seeds v. Beans 17-110

§ 17:7 Copyright Case Impact on Patent Exhaustion:
Kirtsaeng..... 17-111

[A] Facts 17-111

[B] Supreme Court’s Reasoning..... 17-112

[C] Influence on Patent Exhaustion?..... 17-113

§ 17:8 Effect of Restrictive Construction or Invalidity of
a Licensed Patent 17-114

Examples..... 17 EX-1

Chapter 18 Patent Sales

§ 18:1 Patent Monetization 18-2

§ 18:2 Types of Sales..... 18-2

§ 18:2.1 Absolute Sale 18-2

§ 18:2.2 Sale with License Back..... 18-3

§ 18:2.3 Sale with Royalty 18-3

[A] Sale to an NPE with Royalty 18-4

§ 18:3 Two Types of Buyers: Asserters and Defenders..... 18-4

§ 18:3.1 Exception: Aggregators 18-4

§ 18:4 Deciding Whether to Sell or Not to Sell..... 18-5

§ 18:5 Establishing the Portfolio Valuation 18-5

§ 18:5.1 Scope of the Patents 18-7

§ 18:5.2 Priority Dates 18-7

§ 18:6 Time Period: How Long Does It Take to Sell? 18-8

§ 18:7 Patents for Defensive Purposes 18-9

§ 18:8 Due Diligence in Patent Purchases 18-10

§ 18:8.1 Ownership 18-10

[A] Past Ownership Transfers 18-10

[B] Magic Words 18-11

§ 18:8.2 Licenses 18-12

§ 18:8.3 Patent Exhaustion..... 18-14

§ 18:8.4 Litigation Involving the Patent..... 18-14

§ 18:8.5 Validity 18-15

§ 18:8.6 Re-examinations and Interferences 18-17

§ 18:8.7 Security Interests 18-18

§ 18:9 Patent Purchase Checklist 18-18

§ 18:10	Risks of Declaratory Judgments from Selling Patents	18-19
§ 18:10.1	The Increasing Popularity of Selling Patents	18-19
§ 18:10.2	Absence of Case Law	18-20
§ 18:10.3	<i>Catalyst Corporate Federal Credit Union</i>	18-20
§ 18:10.4	<i>Limelight Networks</i>	18-23
[A]	The Email	18-23
[B]	Online Presentation and Website	18-24
[C]	Statements Concerning Limelight's Competitors	18-26
[D]	Demand for Payment	18-27
[E]	Promoting Third Parties to Assert Patent Infringement	18-27
Appendix 18A	Complaint, <i>Catalyst Corporate Fed. Credit Union v. IP Navigation Grp., LLC</i> (E.D. Tex. 2012)	App. 18A-1
Appendix 18B	Complaint, <i>Limelight Networks, Inc. v. Allied Security Trust</i> (D. Ariz. 2011)	App. 18B-1
Appendix 18C	Complaint, <i>iLeverage Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> (Cal. Super. Ct. 2011)	App. 18C-1
Appendix 18D	Declaration of Dion Messer in Support of Defendant Limelight Networks, Inc.'s Special Motion to Strike the Complaint (C.C.P. § 425.16), <i>iLeverage Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> (Cal. Super. Ct. 2011)	App. 18D-1
Appendix 18E	Order Granting Defendant Limelight Networks, Inc.'s Special Motion to Strike the Complaint (C.C.P. § 425.16), <i>iLeverage Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> (Cal. Super. Ct. 2011)	App. 18E-1

Chapter 19 Patent Purchase Agreements

§ 19:1	Introduction	19-2
§ 19:2	Purchase Agreements: Creatures of State Law	19-2
§ 19:2.1	Assignments: "Hereby Assigns" Is Required Language	19-3
§ 19:2.2	Federal Circuit Claims Jurisdiction	19-5
§ 19:2.3	Lesson: Use the Magic Language "Hereby Assigns"	19-7
§ 19:2.4	Dilemma for Universities	19-7
[A]	Faculty Resistance	19-7
[B]	Effects on University Tech Transfer Offices	19-8

Table of Contents

§ 19:3	Recitals	19-8
§ 19:4	Definitions	19-9
§ 19:4.1	“Patent Prosecution History”	19-9
§ 19:4.2	“Patents”	19-11
§ 19:5	Purchase Price and Payment	19-12
§ 19:6	Taxes	19-13
§ 19:7	Closing	19-14
§ 19:8	Representations and Warranties.....	19-16
§ 19:8.1	Seller’s Representations and Warranties	19-16
[A]	Authority	19-16
[B]	Title	19-17
[C]	Licenses	19-18
[D]	No Licenses	19-19
[E]	Restrictions on Patent Rights	19-19
[F]	Validity and Enforceability	19-20
[G]	Good Conduct	19-21
[H]	Enforcement by Seller	19-21
§ 19:8.2	Purchaser’s Representations and Warranties.....	19-22
Examples.....		19 EX-1
Table of Examples		TE-1
Table of Authorities		TA-1
Index		I-1

