

# Table of Contents

---

<b>About the Editors</b> .....	v
<b>About the Contributors</b> .....	ix
<b>Table of Chapters</b> .....	xvii
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	xix
<b>Preface</b> .....	lvii

## Chapter 1 What Is an Expert Witness? (Rule 702)

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

<b>Admissibility Standards for Expert Witness</b> .....	1-2
<i>The Rule</i> .....	1-2
Q 1.1 What is an expert witness? .....	1-2
Q 1.1.1 What evidentiary rules apply in state courts? .....	1-3
Q 1.2 What are the basic requirements of FRE 702? .....	1-4
Q 1.3 What is the appropriate subject matter for expert testimony? ....	1-4
<i>Helpfulness</i> .....	1-5
Q 1.4 What is the “helpfulness” requirement of FRE 702? .....	1-5
<i>Qualifications</i> .....	1-5
Q 1.5 What qualifies an expert under FRE 702? .....	1-5
Q 1.5.1 What level of “knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education” is required? .....	1-5
Q 1.5.2 Can an expert qualify solely based on practical experience? .....	1-6
<i>Reliability and Foundation</i> .....	1-6
Q 1.6 What foundational facts or data are required for expert testimony? .....	1-6
Q 1.6.1 What was the effect of the 2000 amendments on FRE 702? .....	1-6
Q 1.6.2 What are “sufficient” facts or data? .....	1-7

Q 1.6.3 What is meant by “reliable” principles and methods? .....1-7

Q 1.6.4 What is meant by “reliable application” of principles  
and methods to the facts of the case? .....1-7

*Proposals for Amending Rule 702* .....1-8

Q 1.6.5 What concerns have been raised about Rule 702  
gatekeeping? .....1-8

Q 1.6.6 What are the proposed amendments to Rule 702? .....1-8

## Chapter 2 The Lay Opinion Witness (Rule 701)

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

**Definition—The Rule Requirements** .....2-2

Q 2.1 What is a lay opinion witness? .....2-2

Q 2.2 What is the definition under the Federal  
Rules of Evidence?.....2-2

Q 2.2.1 Is personal knowledge required? .....2-3

Q 2.2.2 May hypothetical questions be used? .....2-3

*“Rationally Based” Perception* .....2-4

Q 2.2.3 What is the limitation of “rationally based” perception? .....2-4

*“Helpfulness”* .....2-4

Q 2.2.4 What is the requirement for helpfulness?.....2-4

*“Not Based on Scientific, Technical or Other Specialized Knowledge”* .....2-5

Q 2.2.5 What was the purpose of the 2000 amendment to  
FRE 701?.....2-5

**Subjects That May Be Addressed by Lay Opinion Witnesses** .....2-5

Q 2.3 What subjects may be addressed by lay opinion witnesses?.....2-5

Q 2.3.1 When can a lay opinion witness provide physical  
measurements or statistics? .....2-6

Q 2.3.2 When can a lay opinion witness address custom and  
practice? .....2-6

Q 2.3.3 When can a lay opinion witness address valuation and  
damages? .....2-7

Q 2.3.4 When can a lay opinion witness address intellectual  
property issues? .....2-7

## Table of Contents

---

<b>A Party's Employee As Lay Opinion Witness</b> .....	2-8
Q 2.4 May a party's employee provide lay opinion testimony? .....	2-8
<b>Disclosure of Lay Opinion Witnesses</b> .....	2-10
Q 2.5 How should lay opinion witnesses be identified in discovery?.....	2-10
Q 2.5.1 Must lay opinion witnesses be designated like expert witnesses?.....	2-10
Q 2.5.2 Must lay opinion witnesses prepare and produce reports? .....	2-11
<b>Chapter 3 Court-Appointed Experts (Rule 706)</b>	
<i>Eric R. I. Cottle</i>	
<b>The Rule</b> .....	3-2
Q 3.1 What rule governs court appointment of experts?.....	3-2
Q 3.1.1 What distinguishes an expert appointed under Rule 706 from a technical advisor or a special master?.....	3-4
Q 3.1.2 May panels of experts be appointed by a trial court?.....	3-4
<b>Role of Court-Appointed Expert</b> .....	3-4
Q 3.2 What is the role of a court-appointed expert?.....	3-4
Q 3.2.1 What factors could a trial court consider in determining whether to appoint an independent expert?.....	3-5
Q 3.2.2 When is a court-appointed expert "neutral" under FRE 706?.....	3-6
<b>Procedure for Appointment</b> .....	3-6
Q 3.3 What is the procedure for the appointment of an expert under FRE 706? .....	3-6
Q 3.3.1 Can a trial court appoint an expert on its own motion? .....	3-7
Q 3.3.2 How is the court-appointed expert selected?.....	3-7
Q 3.3.3 Do the parties have a role in selection? .....	3-7
Q 3.3.4 Is the court obligated to appoint a witness on a party's motion?.....	3-8
Q 3.3.5 Must the expert serve if appointed?.....	3-8
Q 3.3.6 Does a court's appointment of an expert limit the parties' use of their own experts?.....	3-8

**How Information Is Provided to Expert** .....3-8

Q 3.4 By what means may a court-appointed expert receive information about the case? .....3-8

Q 3.4.1 May parties provide information to a court-appointed expert? .....3-8

Q 3.4.2 May parties provide information in confidence from the court or the separate parties? .....3-9

Q 3.4.3 May the court conduct off-the-record communications with a court-appointed expert? .....3-10

**How Expert’s Findings Are Disclosed** .....3-10

Q 3.5 What are the procedures for disclosure of a court-appointed expert’s independent findings? .....3-10

Q 3.5.1 Must the court-appointed expert prepare a report? .....3-10

Q 3.5.2 Can the court-appointed expert provide findings in confidence to the court without disclosure to the parties? .....3-11

**Deposition of Expert** .....3-11

Q 3.6 Is a court-appointed expert subject to discovery? .....3-11

Q 3.6.1 Can the court-appointed expert be deposed by the parties? .....3-11

Q 3.6.2 Can the court-appointed expert be represented by counsel at a deposition? .....3-12

**Trial Role of Expert** .....3-12

Q 3.7 What is the role of a court-appointed expert at trial? .....3-12

Q 3.7.1 Can a court-appointed expert provide live trial testimony? .....3-12

Q 3.7.2 Can the jury be advised that an expert is court-appointed? .....3-12

Q 3.7.3 Is a court-appointed expert subject to cross-examination? .....3-13

Q 3.7.4 Can a court-appointed expert be asked to evaluate and criticize the opinions of a party’s expert? .....3-13

**Compensation** .....3-13

Q 3.8 How is a court-appointed expert compensated? .....3-13

**Expert’s Liability** .....3-14

Q 3.9 May a party bring suit against a court-appointed expert based on theories such as negligence or malpractice? .....3-14

## Chapter 4 The *Frye* Rule

Siobhan K. Ray

<b>The <i>Frye</i> Standard</b> .....	4-2
Q 4.1 What is the <i>Frye</i> standard?.....	4-2
Q 4.2 How has the <i>Frye</i> standard been interpreted since the 1923 opinion? .....	4-3
<b>Criticisms of <i>Frye</i></b> .....	4-5
Q 4.3 What problems emerged from the original <i>Frye</i> rule? .....	4-5
<b><i>Frye</i> and the Federal Rules of Evidence</b> .....	4-6
Q 4.4 Is <i>Frye</i> consistent with the Federal Rules of Evidence?.....	4-6
<b><i>Frye</i> Post-<i>Daubert</i></b> .....	4-7
Q 4.5 What remains of the <i>Frye</i> test in the wake of <i>Daubert</i> ?.....	4-7
Q 4.6 What jurisdictions still follow <i>Frye</i> or are shifting away from it?.....	4-8
Q 4.6.1 Which jurisdictions continue to apply <i>Frye</i> ?.....	4-8
Q 4.6.2 Which jurisdictions are shifting away from <i>Frye</i> ?.....	4-10
Q 4.6.3 Which jurisdictions have recently adopted <i>Daubert</i> or a <i>Daubert</i> -like analysis? .....	4-11
Q 4.6.4 How have states limited the application of the <i>Frye</i> test?.....	4-13

## Chapter 5 Standards for Experts: The *Daubert* Trilogy

Michele C. Barnes

<b>Problems with <i>Frye</i></b> .....	5-3
Q 5.1 What problems led to the need for expert opinion gatekeeping? .....	5-3
<b>The Changes Made by <i>Daubert</i></b> .....	5-3
Q 5.2 What was the <i>Daubert</i> case? .....	5-3
Q 5.2.1 What did <i>Daubert</i> decide regarding the <i>Frye</i> rule? .....	5-4
Q 5.2.2 How does <i>Daubert</i> define the role of the trial judge under FRE 702? .....	5-4

## EXPERT WITNESS ANSWER BOOK 2022

---

Q 5.2.3	What does <i>Daubert</i> say is the test under FRE 702? .....	5-4
Q 5.2.4	What is required for evidentiary reliability?.....	5-5
<b>“Relevant Evidence” .....</b>		<b>5-6</b>
Q 5.2.5	What is required for the evidentiary relevance of expert opinion?.....	5-6
Q 5.2.6	What does <i>Daubert</i> say about an expert’s methodology and conclusions?.....	5-7
<b>The Joiner Case .....</b>		<b>5-7</b>
Q 5.3	What was the significance of the <i>Joiner</i> case?.....	5-7
Q 5.3.1	What standard of review should apply to appeals of expert gatekeeping decisions? .....	5-8
Q 5.3.2	What is the “analytical gap” test? .....	5-8
<b>The Kumho Tire Case .....</b>		<b>5-9</b>
Q 5.4	What was the significance of the <i>Kumho Tire</i> case? .....	5-9
Q 5.4.1	Does expert gatekeeping apply only to scientific testimony or does it apply to all expert testimony?.....	5-9
Q 5.4.2	What are the limitations on the applicability of the <i>Daubert</i> gatekeeping factors to non-scientific or experience-based expert witnesses? .....	5-10
Q 5.4.3	How should courts address an expert’s methodology and conclusions?.....	5-10
Q 5.5	What is the role of the <i>Daubert</i> trilogy after the 2000 Amendments to Rule 702? .....	5-11

## Chapter 6 Admissibility of Expert Testimony in State Courts

*Siobhan K. Ray*

<b>States Following <i>Daubert</i>.....</b>		<b>6-2</b>
Q 6.1	What states have adopted <i>Daubert</i> or <i>Daubert</i> -like standards?.....	6-2
Q 6.1.1	How have state courts interpreted or limited <i>Daubert</i> rules? .....	6-3
<b>States Following <i>Frye</i> .....</b>		<b>6-12</b>
Q 6.2	What states continue to follow the <i>Frye</i> rule? .....	6-12
Q 6.2.1	How do the <i>Frye</i> states interpret or limit the rule? .....	6-12

## Table of Contents

---

<b>States with Unique Tests</b> .....	6-14
---------------------------------------	------

Q 6.3     What states have unique approaches to the question of admissibility of scientific evidence? .....	6-14
--	------

## **Chapter 7   Expert Gatekeeping: Qualifications**

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

<b>Qualifications Standards—Reliability</b> .....	7-2
---	-----

Q 7.1     What are the expert qualifications standards after <i>Daubert</i> ? .....	7-2
---	-----

Q 7.1.1     What must the proponent show to establish qualifications? .....	7-2
--	-----

Q 7.1.2     What is required to establish qualifications by experience? .....	7-3
--	-----

<b>Are Licenses Required?</b> .....	7-4
-------------------------------------	-----

Q 7.2     What experts must be licensed? .....	7-4
--	-----

<i>Physicians</i> .....	7-4
-------------------------	-----

Q 7.2.1     What licensing rules apply to physicians? .....	7-4
---	-----

<i>Engineers</i> .....	7-4
------------------------	-----

Q 7.2.2     What licensing rules apply to engineering experts? .....	7-4
--	-----

<i>Financial Experts</i> .....	7-5
--------------------------------	-----

Q 7.2.3     What licensing rules apply to financial experts? .....	7-5
--	-----

<b>Parties As Expert Witnesses</b> .....	7-5
--	-----

Q 7.3     May a party qualify as an expert? .....	7-5
---	-----

<b>Indicia of Expertise</b> .....	7-6
-----------------------------------	-----

<i>Publications</i> .....	7-6
---------------------------	-----

Q 7.4     Is an expert required to have published in the area of expertise? .....	7-6
--	-----

<i>Subject Matter Experience</i> .....	7-6
--	-----

Q 7.5     Must an expert have experience in the specific subject matter of the case? .....	7-6
---	-----

Q 7.5.1     When is “local knowledge” a required qualification? .....	7-7
---	-----

Q 7.5.2     Can experts rely on others who have specific experience? .....	7-7
---	-----

*Expertise Preexists Litigation* ..... 7-8

Q 7.6 May an expert establish qualifications by testifying? ..... 7-8

**Court Consideration of Expert’s Qualifications**..... 7-9

Q 7.7 When should a trial court consider an expert’s qualifications?..... 7-9

Q 7.8 May an expert be found qualified for part but not all opinions? ..... 7-10

**Chapter 8 Expert Gatekeeping: Bases for Opinion (Rule 703)**

*Eric R. I. Cottle*

**The Federal Rule**..... 8-2

Q 8.1 What rules govern the basis of expert opinion? ..... 8-2

Q 8.1.1 What is the effect of the 2000 Amendments to FRE 703?..... 8-2

**Bases of Opinion**..... 8-3

Q 8.2 On what may an expert base his opinion? ..... 8-3

Q 8.2.1 May an expert base her opinion on firsthand knowledge?..... 8-3

Q 8.2.2 May an expert base her opinion on other evidence admitted at trial or during the hearing?..... 8-3

Q 8.2.3 May an expert base her opinion on facts or data not in evidence? ..... 8-4

**Tests for Background Data**..... 8-4

Q 8.3 May the court exclude an expert’s opinion because its basis is not formed by facts and data reasonably relied upon by an expert in the particular field? ..... 8-4

Q 8.3.1 What factors determine whether facts and data are reasonably relied upon by an expert in a particular field? ..... 8-5

Q 8.3.2 Can the expert’s own testimony establish that his reliance materials are of the type “reasonably relied upon” by others in his field? ..... 8-5

## Table of Contents

---

Q 8.4	What are some examples of proper and improper bases for expert opinions under FRE 703?.....	8-6
Q 8.4.1	May an expert rely on an unpublished study as the basis of her opinion?.....	8-6
Q 8.4.2	May an expert rely on studies that have not been peer reviewed as the basis of her opinion? .....	8-7
Q 8.4.3	May an expert rely on “peer review” by co-workers?.....	8-7
Q 8.4.4	May one expert rely on another expert’s report? .....	8-8

## Chapter 9 Expert Gatekeeping: Establishing Reliability

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

<b>Origin of Reliability Test</b> .....	9-2
Q 9.1 What is the origin of the reliability test for expert evidence?.....	9-2
Q 9.1.1 Is the reliability inquiry directed only to the expert’s methodology? .....	9-3
<b>Advisory Committee Reliability Factors</b> .....	9-3
Q 9.2 How has the Advisory Committee defined the reliability factors of <i>Daubert</i> ? .....	9-3
“Testability” or “Falsifiability” .....	9-4
Q 9.2.1 The First Factor: How have courts interpreted and applied the concepts of “testability” or “falsifiability”?.....	9-4
Q 9.2.2 The First Factor: How is the testing requirement applied in products liability cases? .....	9-4
Q 9.2.3 The First Factor: How is the testing requirement applied in the basic sciences? .....	9-6
<i>Peer Review of Studies</i> .....	9-6
Q 9.2.4 The Second Factor: What is meant by “peer review” and how do courts apply this factor?.....	9-6
<i>Error Rate</i> .....	9-7
Q 9.2.5 The Third Factor: How have courts interpreted and applied the factor of “error rate”?.....	9-7
<i>Standards and Controls</i> .....	9-8
Q 9.2.6 The Fourth Factor: What is the significance of the “standards and controls” factor? .....	9-8

<i>General Acceptance</i> .....	9-9
Q 9.2.7    The Fifth Factor: How have courts interpreted and applied “general acceptance” in post- <i>Frye</i> cases? .....	9-9
<b>Other Factors Indicating Reliability</b> .....	9-9
Q 9.3    What are other significant indicia of reliability? .....	9-9
<b>Reliable Application</b> .....	9-11
Q 9.4    What is meant by the requirement that the expert “reliably apply” principles and methods? .....	9-11
Q 9.4.1    What factors should a court consider to determine “reliably applied”? .....	9-11

## Chapter 10 Expert Procedure: Designation and Disclosure

*Terry Budd & David Oxamendi*

<b>Disclosure in Federal Courts</b> .....	10-2
Q 10.1    What rules apply to the disclosure of experts in federal court? .....	10-2
Q 10.1.1    Who must be disclosed?.....	10-3
Q 10.1.2    When must disclosures be made?.....	10-4
Q 10.1.3    Must disclosures be supplemented? .....	10-5
Q 10.1.4    What are the possible consequences of failing to make a proper expert witness disclosure under the federal rules? .....	10-6
Q 10.1.5    What constitutes good cause/justification for a late disclosure? .....	10-7
Q 10.1.6    May late disclosure be mitigated by offering the expert for deposition? .....	10-8
Q 10.1.7    May an expert excluded for some failure in the disclosure be “re-disclosed” if the case is retried? .....	10-9
<b>Disclosure in State Courts</b> .....	10-9
Q 10.2    What significant variations apply to expert disclosure under state rules?.....	10-9
<b>Disclosure Sufficiency</b> .....	10-11
Q 10.3    Is the service of an expert report sufficient to constitute disclosure? .....	10-11

*Table of Contents*

---

Q 10.4 Is the identification of an expert as one with knowledge of discoverable facts sufficient? .....10-11

**Disclosure Strategies**.....10-11

Q 10.5 May a party designate as its own the experts of an opponent or co-party? .....10-11

    Q 10.5.1 May a party use global disclosures to identify unnamed experts?.....10-12

Q 10.6 Is expert disclosure required for the use of an expert affidavit in a summary judgment proceeding? .....10-12

Q 10.7 May an expert be “de-designated” or “de-disclosed”? .....10-13

Q 10.8 Is there a best “strategy” for expert disclosures?.....10-13

    Q 10.8.1 Should the expert or the attorney draft the disclosure? .....10-14

**Chapter 11 The Expert Report**

*Walter G. (Bob) Pettey, III*

**Whether Reports Are Required and, If so, When They Are Due**.....11-2

Q 11.1 Are expert reports required in federal court? .....11-2

Q 11.2 What are the federal deadlines for submitting initial, supplemental and rebuttal expert reports?.....11-3

Q 11.3 Are expert reports required in state court?.....11-3

**Elements of the Expert Report**.....11-5

Q 11.4 What is the required format and contents of a report in federal court? .....11-5

Q 11.5 What is the extent of disclosure required? .....11-6

**Scope and Authorship of the Report**.....11-7

Q 11.6 Who should determine the scope of the expert report?.....11-7

Q 11.7 What should the scope of the expert report be? .....11-8

Q 11.8 Who must/may draft the expert report? .....11-9

Q 11.9 Will the identity of the drafter necessarily be disclosed?.....11-11

Q 11.10 What are the strategic considerations for the expense of the expert report? .....11-12

**Missing, Deficient, or Untimely Reports** .....11-13

Q 11.11 What issues arise if a required report is late or never submitted?.....11-13

Q 11.12 What issues arise if the expert report is deficient? .....11-14

**Distinguishing Between Original, Supplemental and Rebuttal Reports**.....11-15

Q 11.13 How do supplemental and rebuttal reports differ from original reports? .....11-15

## **Chapter 12 Expert Procedure: Discovery and Deposition**

*Gregory R. Youman*

**Rule 26 Amendments** .....12-2

Q 12.1 What are the effects of the 2010 and 2015 amendments to Rule 26? .....12-2

**Expert Interrogatories** .....12-3

Q 12.2 What is the role of interrogatories in expert discovery? .....12-3

Q 12.2.1 Do current disclosure rules preclude the use of interrogatories? .....12-4

Q 12.2.2 Are there jurisdictions that still rely upon interrogatories as the initial step in expert discovery? .....12-4

Q 12.2.3 What procedural and practical rules apply to the form, completeness, and supplementation of expert interrogatory answers? .....12-4

**Expert Document Requests** .....12-5

Q 12.3 What is the role of document requests in expert depositions?.....12-5

Q 12.3.1 What is the procedure for obtaining expert documents in coordination with an expert deposition? .....12-5

Q 12.3.2 What expert documents are discoverable? .....12-5

Q 12.3.3 What reliance documents must the expert produce? .....12-6

Q 12.3.4 Must an expert produce reports from prior cases?.....12-7

**Discovery of Consulting Experts** .....12-7

Q 12.4 What discovery is available from consulting experts?.....12-7

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Expert Depositions</b> .....	12-8
Q 12.5 Are depositions always available for expert discovery?.....	12-8
Q 12.6 In what circumstance should a party consider not deposing an opposing expert?.....	12-9
<i>Scheduling the Expert Deposition</i> .....	12-9
Q 12.7 What is the procedure for scheduling an expert deposition? .....	12-9
Q 12.7.1 When should an expert's deposition be scheduled in the pretrial process?.....	12-10
Q 12.7.2 Where should the expert deposition be taken? .....	12-10
Q 12.7.3 How much time is available for an expert deposition? .....	12-11
Q 12.7.4 Is a subpoena necessary?.....	12-11
Q 12.8 What are the procedural considerations in responding to the deposition notice?.....	12-11
<i>Procedural Rules Applicable to an Expert Deposition</i> .....	12-12
Q 12.9 What procedural rules apply to the taking of the expert deposition?.....	12-12
Q 12.9.1 Must the deposition be taken in person?.....	12-12
Q 12.9.2 Who can attend an expert deposition? .....	12-12
Q 12.9.3 How many attorneys may ask questions in a deposition?.....	12-13
Q 12.9.4 What rules apply to objecting during the deposition? .....	12-13
Q 12.9.5 What objections are waived if not asserted?.....	12-13
Q 12.9.6 Can an expert be instructed not to answer a question? .....	12-14
Q 12.9.7 What are some practical methods for dealing with counsel coaching the expert witness?.....	12-14
Q 12.9.8 What sanctions are available for inappropriate or abusive deposition tactics? .....	12-15
Q 12.9.9 What are the procedural and strategic considerations in the use of exhibits and demonstrative aids in a deposition?.....	12-15
<i>Distinctions Between a Trial and a Discovery Deposition</i> .....	12-15
Q 12.10 What are the procedural and practical distinctions between a trial and a discovery expert deposition?.....	12-15
<b>Deposition Strategies</b> .....	12-16
Q 12.11 What are the strategic goals for an expert deposition? .....	12-16
Q 12.12 What are the considerations in developing a methodology for expert deposition questioning?.....	12-16

Q 12.13 What are the practical considerations in setting the tone of the questioning? .....12-17

**Payment of Experts**.....12-17

Q 12.14 What rules apply to the payment of experts for preparation and attendance at depositions? .....12-17

**Correction and Supplementation of Deposition**.....12-18

Q 12.15 What rules apply to the correction of the deposition transcript? .....12-18

Q 12.16 What duty of supplementation applies to expert witnesses?.....12-18

**How an Expert Deposition May Be Used at Trial** .....12-19

Q 12.17 What are the procedural rules and practical considerations regarding the use of an expert deposition at trial?.....12-19

## **Chapter 13 Expert Procedure: The Gatekeeping Challenge**

*Geoffrey M. Davis*

**Gatekeeping Methodologies** .....13-2

Q 13.1 What are the mechanisms for making an expert gatekeeping challenge?.....13-2

Q 13.2 How does a court perform its gatekeeping function?.....13-2

*Timing Considerations*.....13-3

Q 13.3 What are the timing considerations in determining when to formally make a gatekeeping challenge? .....13-3

*Standards Used in Gatekeeping Decisions* .....13-5

Q 13.4 Are the standards applied the same regardless of the specific gatekeeping mechanism that is applied?.....13-5

    Q 13.4.1 How are the standards affected when state law is applied in a federal court action? .....13-5

Q 13.5 What needs to be done before making a gatekeeping challenge?.....13-6

*Standard of Review* .....13-7

Q 13.6 What is the standard of review applicable to gatekeeping determinations? .....13-7

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Daubert Hearings and Gatekeeping</b> .....	13-7
Q 13.7 Is a <i>Daubert</i> hearing required before the court can rule on a gatekeeping challenge? .....	13-7
<i>Gatekeeping Strategies</i> .....	13-8
Q 13.8 What are the strategic considerations in deciding whether to seek a <i>Daubert</i> hearing? .....	13-8
Q 13.8.1 Must the parties request a <i>Daubert</i> hearing?.....	13-9
Q 13.8.2 What should a movant show to support a hearing request?.....	13-9
Q 13.9 Can the admissibility of expert testimony be challenged through a summary judgment motion?.....	13-10
Q 13.10 If a pretrial motion to strike is denied, do additional objections need to be made to preserve the issue? .....	13-11
Q 13.11 Can the court exclude unreliable testimony even when that exclusion would decide the case?.....	13-11
<i>Anticipating a Daubert Motion Against Your Expert</i> .....	13-12
Q 13.12 What can be done in advance of an anticipated <i>Daubert</i> motion? .....	13-12
<i>Content of an Opposition to a Daubert Motion</i> .....	13-12
Q 13.13 What should be included in the opposition to a <i>Daubert</i> motion? .....	13-12

## Chapter 14 Expert Procedure: Error Preservation and Standards of Review

*Charles T. Frazier, Jr.*

<b>Preservation of Error</b> .....	14-2
Q 14.1 What do the federal rules require to preserve error in the admission of expert testimony? .....	14-2
Q 14.1.1 When should the objection to expert testimony be raised?.....	14-2
Q 14.1.2 How much detail is required in the objection? .....	14-4
Q 14.1.3 Is an objection by a co-party sufficient to preserve error? .....	14-4
Q 14.1.4 Should the proponent of the expert testimony seek a ruling on the record admitting the opinions?.....	14-5
Q 14.1.5 Must the objection also be made in a Rule 50 motion for judgment as a matter of law?.....	14-5

**Preservation of Error—State Law Versus Federal Law** .....14-5

Q 14.2 How does state law regarding preservation of error differ from federal law? .....14-5

**Preservation of Error—Expert Excluded**.....14-6

Q 14.3 What is necessary to preserve error in the exclusion of expert testimony?.....14-6

**Standards of Review** .....14-7

Q 14.4 What standards of review do appellate courts apply when reviewing the exclusion or admission of expert testimony and related rulings?.....14-7

Q 14.4.1 Under what circumstances does a trial court abuse its discretion in deciding whether to admit expert testimony under FRE 702?.....14-8

Q 14.4.2 Under what circumstances does a trial court abuse its discretion when deciding under FRE 703 whether facts or data are of the sort reasonably relied on by experts in the field?.....14-8

Q 14.4.3 Under what circumstances does a trial court abuse its discretion when deciding under FRE 704 whether an expert has improperly offered testimony on an ultimate issue of fact?.....14-9

Q 14.5 What standard of review applies if an error is not properly preserved?.....14-9

Q 14.6 Do appellate courts ever review decisions regarding expert testimony de novo? .....14-10

**Standards for a New Trial** .....14-11

Q 14.7 What conditions must an appellant satisfy to win a new trial? .....14-11

Q 14.7.1 How do courts determine if an erroneous evidentiary ruling is “harmless”? .....14-11

Q 14.8 Under what circumstances will an appellate court render judgment upon a finding of erroneously admitted expert testimony?.....14-12

## Chapter 15 The Expert at Trial: Direct Examination

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

<b>Goals of Direct Examination</b> .....	15-2
Q 15.1 What are the goals of direct examination?.....	15-2
<b>Control of Direct Examination</b> .....	15-3
Q 15.2 How do courts control direct examination?.....	15-3
Q 15.2.1 How does the trial court control an expert's documentary presentations?.....	15-4
Q 15.2.2 How should counsel control the direct examination of the expert?.....	15-5
<b>Chronology of Direct Examination</b> .....	15-5
Q 15.3 What overall structural chronology should be used for the direct examination?.....	15-5
<b>Qualification of the Expert</b> .....	15-6
Q 15.4 What is the purpose of qualifying the expert on direct examination?.....	15-6
Q 15.4.1 What is the procedure for qualifying the expert?.....	15-7
Q 15.4.2 Should a party accept a stipulation that the expert is qualified?.....	15-8
Q 15.4.3 How should counsel introduce qualifications to the factfinder?.....	15-9
<b>Presentation of Opinions</b> .....	15-11
Q 15.5 What are some practical techniques for direct examination?.....	15-11
Q 15.5.1 Are hypothetical questions necessary?.....	15-12
Q 15.5.2 Are hypothetical questions advisable?.....	15-12
Q 15.5.3 Must an expert witness explain the basis for his or her opinions on direct?.....	15-13
Q 15.5.4 Should an expert witness explain the basis for her opinions on direct?.....	15-14
Q 15.5.5 May scientific or technical materials be cited or quoted by the expert during direct?.....	15-14
Q 15.5.6 Should the direct examination include an opinion on the ultimate issue?.....	15-15

**Establishing Credibility**.....15-15

Q 15.6 What is the role of credibility of the expert witness?.....15-15

    Q 15.6.1 Must the direct examination feature a particular  
            type of “certainty” statement?.....15-17

    Q 15.6.2 What is the proper role of “inoculation” in the  
            direct examination of an expert?.....15-17

Q 15.7 What are useful strategies for redirect examination?.....15-18

## **Chapter 16 The Expert at Trial: Cross-Examination**

*Elizabeth M. Fraley*

**Comprehensive Preparation**.....16-2

Q 16.1 When should you begin preparing for the cross-examination  
of an expert? .....16-2

    Q 16.1.1 How important are the expert’s background and  
            credentials?.....16-3

    Q 16.1.2 Why should I investigate and review an expert’s  
            prior testimony?.....16-7

    Q 16.1.3 How many articles and publications should I review?.....16-8

    Q 16.1.4 How can I discover a consulting expert’s opinions  
            and supporting material? .....16-9

    Q 16.1.5 How should I prepare for a Zoom or other online  
            deposition of an expert?.....16-11

    Q 16.1.6 How should I use literature at the deposition stage? .....16-12

    Q 16.1.7 How can I use a deposition to secure admissions? .....16-12

    Q 16.1.8 How can I “close the loop” with the expert?.....16-13

**Cross-Examination at Trial**.....16-14

*Highly Effective Cross-Examination Techniques*.....16-14

Q 16.2 What is the structure of a trial cross-examination?.....16-14

Q 16.3 What are the basic techniques for cross-examination? .....16-16

Q 16.4 How do I control the witness at trial?.....16-17

Q 16.5 How should I use visuals and technology in  
cross-examination? .....16-20

Q 16.6 Are there guidelines for knowing how long to examine  
an expert witness?.....16-20

    Q 16.6.1 How do you best attack an expert’s foundation?.....16-21

    Q 16.6.2 How do you use a learned treatise to attack an  
            expert’s foundation? .....16-27

## Table of Contents

---

Q 16.6.3	How do you impeach an expert witness?.....	16-28
Q 16.6.4	Having the impeachment source specifically annotated in your examination outline, so it is precisely accurate and readily available, is the cornerstone of good impeachment. How do you exploit an expert's bias?.....	16-30
<b>Miscellaneous Matters</b> .....		16-32
Q 16.7	How do I conduct a blind cross-examination?.....	16-32
Q 16.8	How can I handle the unexpected? .....	16-32
Q 16.9	Can I ever make sure I know the answer to every question?.....	16-33

## Chapter 17 The Expert at Trial: Demonstrative Evidence

*Eric R. I. Cottle*

<b>Demonstrative Evidence Defined</b> .....		17-2
Q 17.1	What is demonstrative evidence? .....	17-2
Q 17.2	What are the forms of demonstrative evidence? .....	17-2
<b>Procedural Rules for Demonstrative Evidence</b> .....		17-3
Q 17.3	What procedural rules govern the use of demonstrative evidence?.....	17-3
Q 17.3.1	Must demonstrative evidence be produced in discovery? .....	17-3
Q 17.3.2	Must counsel proffer demonstrative evidence to an opponent before use?.....	17-3
Q 17.3.3	How should counsel control the use of demonstrative evidence by opposing counsel? .....	17-4
Q 17.3.4	What timing considerations arise with an expert's use of demonstrative evidence?.....	17-5
<b>Strategies for Demonstrative Evidence</b> .....		17-6
Q 17.4	What strategic considerations should guide the use of demonstrative evidence? .....	17-6
Q 17.4.1	Should an expert perform an in-court demonstration or experiment?.....	17-6

**Evidentiary Considerations** .....17-7

Q 17.5 May demonstrative exhibits ever be admitted into evidence? .....17-7

Q 17.6 What limitations apply to the use of out-of-court tests and simulations?.....17-8

    Q 17.6.1 Must the expert have performed or been present for the out-of-court demonstration or test?.....17-8

Q 17.7 What limitations apply to the use of computer animations?.....17-9

## **Chapter 18 Expert Disqualification**

*Michele Barnes*

**Grounds for Disqualification** .....18-2

Q 18.1 What are the grounds for the disqualification of an expert?.....18-2

    Q 18.1.1 What fact situations have led to disqualification proceedings?.....18-3

    Q 18.1.2 What disqualification issues arise for an attorney who contacts an opposing expert?.....18-3

**Presenting the Issue to the Court** .....18-3

Q 18.2 How should the disqualification of an expert witness be raised with the court?.....18-3

Q 18.3 Can a party waive its right to seek the disqualification of an expert witness?.....18-4

**Tests for Disqualification**.....18-4

Q 18.4 What test does a court apply when confronted with a motion to disqualify an expert witness based on claims of a conflict of interest? .....18-4

    Q 18.4.1 Do courts analogize to attorney-client privilege conflicts law? .....18-5

    Q 18.4.2 What factors will courts consider when evaluating whether a confidential relationship existed between the moving party and the expert witness?.....18-5

    Q 18.4.3 What information provided to an expert is or is not considered confidential for purposes of disqualification? .....18-6

    Q 18.4.4 What additional considerations influence a court when examining whether disqualification is warranted? .....18-6

    Q 18.4.5 Will courts consider the ethics rules or confidentiality rules of an expert’s particular profession when ruling on a disqualification motion? .....18-7

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Burden of Proof</b> .....	18-7
Q 18.5 Who bears the burden in establishing that an expert should be disqualified? .....	18-7
<b>Expert Conflicts</b> .....	18-8
Q 18.6 May an expert witness switch sides? .....	18-8
Q 18.7 May expert witnesses working in the same firm testify for opposing parties in the same case? .....	18-9
Q 18.8 May an expert jointly retained by both parties become an expert for one party at trial? .....	18-9
Q 18.9 What is the standard of appellate review for disqualification of expert witnesses? .....	18-9
Q 18.10 How can a trial attorney prevent an expert witness from being disqualified based on a conflict of interest or from “switching sides”? .....	18-9

## Chapter 19 The Expert in Products Liability

*Bert Black*

<b>Necessary Fields of Expertise</b> .....	19-2
Q 19.1 What fields of expertise are needed in products liability cases? .....	19-2
<b>Experts on Design Defects</b> .....	19-4
Q 19.2 What are the issues for experts in a design defect case? .....	19-4
Q 19.2.1 Must a plaintiff’s design expert demonstrate an alternative design? .....	19-6
<b>Experts on Manufacturing Defects</b> .....	19-6
Q 19.3 What issues arise with experts in a manufacturing defect case? .....	19-6
<b>Experts on Warning Defects</b> .....	19-7
Q 19.4 What are the issues for experts in a failure-to-warn case? .....	19-7
Q 19.4.1 Is an expert always required to prove a failure-to-warn claim? .....	19-8
Q 19.4.2 What qualifies a warnings expert? .....	19-8
Q 19.4.3 Is an expert required to propose an alternative warning? .....	19-8

**Experts on Causation** .....19-9

Q 19.5 Are expert witnesses required to prove causation? .....19-9

**Damages Experts**.....19-9

Q 19.6 What considerations apply to experts on damages?.....19-9

    Q 19.6.1 May damages experts rely on hearsay for their opinions? .....19-10

    Q 19.6.2 Is the damages expert’s opinion affected by legal rules? .....19-11

    Q 19.6.3 What concerns arise with an expert’s damages assumptions? .....19-11

**Experts in Negligence Claims**.....19-12

Q 19.7 Are experts required to prove fault in products liability negligence claims?.....19-12

**Strategies for Selecting the Expert**.....19-13

Q 19.8 What are some of the strategy considerations in the selection of products liability experts? .....19-13

    Q 19.8.1 What are some unique strategy considerations in the selection of products liability experts in repetitive, mass tort litigation? .....19-14

    Q 19.8.2 What are some of the strategy considerations in selecting an outside expert as a Rule 30(B)(6) corporate designee?.....19-15

## **Chapter 20 The Expert in Toxic Torts**

*Clifton T. Hutchinson*

**Causation Requirements in Toxic Tort Cases** .....20-2

Q 20.1 What are the requirements for establishing causation in toxic tort cases? .....20-2

    Q 20.1.1 What issues arise with causation alleged against multiple defendants? .....20-3

*Necessary Fields of Expertise*.....20-3

Q 20.2 What fields of expertise are typically needed in toxic tort cases? .....20-3

## Table of Contents

---

<i>Diagnosis of Disease</i> .....	20-4
Q 20.2.1    Are expert witnesses needed for the diagnosis of plaintiff's injury?.....	20-4
<i>General Causation</i> .....	20-4
Q 20.2.2    What types of expert witnesses are used to establish general causation? .....	20-4
<i>Epidemiology</i> .....	20-4
Q 20.2.3    What is the role of epidemiology in toxic tort cases? .....	20-4
Q 20.2.4    What types of epidemiological studies are useful in toxic torts? .....	20-5
Q 20.2.5    What is the role of meta-analysis? .....	20-5
<i>Specific Causation</i> .....	20-6
Q 20.2.6    What types of expert witnesses are used to establish specific causation? .....	20-6
<b>Toxicology</b> .....	20-7
Q 20.2.7    What is the role of toxicologists? .....	20-7
Q 20.2.8    What is the role of animal studies in toxic tort cases? .....	20-7
Q 20.2.9    What is the role of <i>in vitro</i> studies? .....	20-8
Q 20.2.10   May exposure levels be extrapolated from toxicological studies? .....	20-8
<b>Industrial Hygiene</b> .....	20-8
Q 20.2.11   What is the role of industrial hygienists?.....	20-8
Q 20.2.12   What is the importance of the industrial hygiene proof of exposure levels? .....	20-9
<b>Strategies for the Toxic Tort Expert</b> .....	20-9
Q 20.3      When should you get toxic tort expert witnesses involved in a case?.....	20-9
Q 20.4      How can the appropriate expert witnesses be located for your toxic tort cases? .....	20-10

## Chapter 21 The Expert in Trademark Infringement Cases

*John Patton*

<b>Trademark Law—General</b> .....	21-2
Q 21.1 What are the relevant legal principles of trademark infringement law? .....	21-2
<b>When Is Expert Testimony Needed</b> .....	21-3
<i>Trademark Validity and Strength</i> .....	21-3
Q 21.2 Should expert testimony be used to establish or challenge trademark validity and strength? .....	21-3
<i>Likelihood of Confusion</i> .....	21-5
Q 21.3 Should expert testimony be used on the issue of likelihood of confusion? .....	21-5
Q 21.3.1 What factors are used in determining the issue of likelihood of confusion? .....	21-5
Q 21.3.2 When is expert testimony needed to support or reject a claim of likelihood of confusion?.....	21-6
<i>Infringement Damages</i> .....	21-7
Q 21.4 How should expert testimony be used to establish or refute a claim of trademark infringement damages?.....	21-7
<i>Experts and Consumer Surveys</i> .....	21-8
Q 21.5 How do experts use consumer surveys?.....	21-8
<i>Defenses Against Use of Consumer Surveys</i> .....	21-9
Q 21.5.1 What defenses are regularly raised against the use of consumer surveys in trademark cases?.....	21-9

## Chapter 22 The Expert in Copyright Infringement Cases

*John Patton*

<b>Qualifications of Expert Witnesses in Copyright Cases</b> .....	22-2
Q 22.1 What qualifications are required of expert witnesses testifying on a claim of copyright infringement?.....	22-2

## Table of Contents

---

### **Use of Expert Witness in Copyright Cases** .....22-2

- Q 22.2 How are expert witnesses used to prove or refute a claim of copyright infringement?.....22-2

### **Proving Infringement Damages** .....22-5

- Q 22.3 How is expert testimony used to prove copyright infringement damages?.....22-5

## **Chapter 23 The Expert in Patent Infringement Cases**

*Steven N. Williams*

### **Expert Testimony Areas**.....23-2

#### *Claim Construction* .....23-2

- Q 23.1 How is expert testimony used for claim construction? .....23-2

#### *Infringement/Non-Infringement* .....23-4

- Q 23.2 How is expert testimony used to prove patent infringement/non-infringement? .....23-4

#### *Patent Eligibility*.....23-4

- Q 23.3 How is expert testimony used to prove patent eligibility/ineligibility? .....23-4

#### *Validity/Invalidity*.....23-6

- Q 23.4 How is expert testimony used to prove patent validity/invalidity?.....23-6

#### *Damages*.....23-9

- Q 23.5 How is expert testimony used to establish or refute a claim of patent infringement damages?.....23-9

## **Chapter 24 The Economic Damages Expert: Personal Injury**

*Geoffrey M. Davis*

### **Role of Expert on Personal Injury Cases** .....24-2

- Q 24.1 What is the role of an economic expert in a personal injury case? .....24-2

**Economic Damages**.....24-3

Q 24.2 How important are economic damages?.....24-3

    Q 24.2.1 Do all economic losses require the use of an economic expert?.....24-3

    Q 24.2.2 Do I need an expert if the amount of economic damages will be stipulated?.....24-4

*Recoverability*.....24-4

Q 24.3 What economic losses are recoverable?.....24-4

*Wrongful Death Cases*.....24-5

    Q 24.3.1 How are economic losses different in a wrongful death case?.....24-5

*Consumption Deductions in Wrongful Death Cases*.....24-5

    Q 24.3.2 What is consumption?.....24-5

**Economic Damages Discovery**.....24-6

Q 24.4 What information is needed in discovery to prepare for the issue of economic damages?.....24-6

**Deposition Issues**.....24-8

Q 24.5 What are the key issues in the plaintiff’s deposition?.....24-8

**Lost Income Versus Lost Earning Capacity**.....24-8

Q 24.6 How is lost income different from lost earning capacity?.....24-8

**Lost Household Services**.....24-10

Q 24.7 How do plaintiffs’ experts calculate lost household services?.....24-10

**Calculation Issues**.....24-11

Q 24.8 What other issues arise with assumptions used by personal injury economists?.....24-11

    Q 24.8.1 How should counsel deal with an expert using inadequate, inaccurate, or inapplicable information?.....24-11

    Q 24.8.2 Did the expert make any calculation errors?.....24-12

    Q 24.8.3 How can the expert’s assumption concerning life expectancy be challenged?.....24-13

*Table of Contents*

---

**The Reduction to Present Value** .....24-14

Q 24.9 How are future economic damages reduced to present value?.....24-14

Q 24.9.1 How can the assumptions used by the expert to calculate present value be challenged?.....24-14

**Chapter 25 The Economic Damages Expert:  
Commercial Losses**

*Charles F. Rysavy & Reymond E. Yammine*

**General**.....25-2

Q 25.1 What kinds of experts might be used on commercial losses?.....25-2

Q 25.2 What kinds of cases involve commercial loss issues that experts might address? .....25-3

**Lost Profits**.....25-3

Q 25.3 Are certain types of damages common in commercial loss cases?.....25-3

Q 25.3.1 What are lost profits?.....25-4

Q 25.3.2 How do experts generally measure lost profits?.....25-4

Q 25.3.3 What are common problems with expert testimony about lost profits?.....25-5

**Damages in Securities Cases**.....25-6

Q 25.4 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in securities cases?.....25-6

Q 25.4.1 What are some problems affecting expert testimony about securities damages?.....25-7

**Antitrust Damages** .....25-8

Q 25.5 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in antitrust cases? .....25-8

Q 25.5.1 What potential problems exist for an expert opining on antitrust damages? .....25-9

**Contract Damages** .....25-10

Q 25.6 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in breach of contract cases? .....25-10

Q 25.6.1 What problems may arise with expert testimony about contract damages?.....25-11

*Implied Warranty Damages*.....25-11

Q 25.7 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in breach of warranty cases? .....25-11

Q 25.7.1 What are potential problems with expert damages testimony in implied warranty cases?.....25-12

**Intellectual Property Damages** .....25-12

Q 25.8 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in patent cases? .....25-12

Q 25.9 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in trademark and copyright cases? .....25-13

Q 25.10 What types of commercial loss issues might an expert address in trade secret cases?.....25-14

Q 25.10.1 What are potential problems with expert damages testimony in trade secret cases?.....25-14

## **Chapter 26 The Economic Damages Expert: Business Valuation**

*Jeffrey S. King*

**General**.....26-2

Q 26.1 What kinds of cases require business valuation expertise? .....26-2

**Qualifications of the Valuation Expert** .....26-3

Q 26.2 What are the qualifications for a business valuation expert? .....26-3

Q 26.2.1 Must the expert have experience or knowledge of the field or industry being evaluated? .....26-4

**Valuation Standards** .....26-4

Q 26.3 What standards apply to business valuations? .....26-4

**Valuation Methodologies** .....26-6

Q 26.4 What methodologies are used by business valuation experts? ..... 26-6

Q 26.4.1 Is the use of any particular method ever required? .....26-7

Q 26.4.2 Do courts favor certain valuation methodologies? .....26-7

Q 26.4.3 Is the “Rule of Thumb” approach acceptable? .....26-7

Q 26.4.4 What issues arise with the selection of discount rates? .....26-8

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Valuation Assumptions</b> .....	26-8
<i>Discount Factors</i> .....	26-8
Q 26.5    What discount factors can be considered?.....	26-8
<i>Premium Factors</i> .....	26-9
Q 26.6    What premium factors can be considered?.....	26-9
<i>Assumption Issues</i> .....	26-9
Q 26.7    What issues arise with the expert’s selection of assumptions regarding business performance?.....	26-9
<i>Data Development</i> .....	26-10
Q 26.8    Must the expert develop data independently or can he rely upon data provided by the client or counsel?.....	26-10
Q 26.8.1    Is information provided by counsel to the expert discoverable?.....	26-11
Q 26.9    Are expert reports subject to public review?.....	26-11

## **Chapter 27 The Economic Damages Expert: Property Valuation**

*Charles F. Rysavy & Molly K. Moran*

<b>General Principles</b> .....	27-2
Q 27.1    Is there a difference between a “valuation” and an “appraisal”?.....	27-2
Q 27.2    What kinds of property may be the subject of a valuation or appraisal in the litigation context?.....	27-3
Q 27.3    What litigation scenarios may give rise to the need to retain the services of a valuation expert?.....	27-3
<b>Expert Qualifications</b> .....	27-4
Q 27.4    Generally, who can provide an opinion on value?.....	27-4
Q 27.5    What qualifications should a potential property valuation expert have?.....	27-5
Q 27.5.1    What governmental certifications or licensures may be required of a valuation expert?.....	27-5
Q 27.5.2    What non-governmental professional certifications and designations may bolster an appraiser’s qualifications as an expert?.....	27-8
Q 27.5.3    Apart from professional qualifications, what other selection criteria are there for an appraisal expert?.....	27-9

Q 27.6 Do the “gatekeeping standards” applied to other expert opinions apply to valuation opinions? .....27-10

**Valuation Methodologies** .....27-12

Q 27.7 What are some of the basic steps in a valuation of real property?.....27-12

    Q 27.7.1 What kinds of assumptions and conditions will impact a real property valuation?.....27-13

    Q 27.7.2 What is meant by the “highest and best use” of real property? .....27-13

Q 27.8 What methodologies can be used in a real property valuation? .....27-15

    Q 27.8.1 What is the comparable sales method of valuation?.....27-16

    Q 27.8.2 What is the cost method of valuation?.....27-16

    Q 27.8.3 What is the income method of valuation? .....27-17

    Q 27.8.4 What other real estate valuation methodologies may be used by an expert? .....27-18

Q 27.9 What methodologies can be used to value property other than real estate? .....27-18

**Valuation Reports**.....27-20

Q 27.10 What is the purpose of a valuation report? .....27-20

Q 27.11 Are there industry standards for valuation reports?.....27-20

Q 27.12 Will an expert appraisal that does not conform with USPAP be admissible in court? .....27-21

Q 27.13 What is an Appraisal Review?.....27-22

Q 27.14 Is compliance with USPAP required for non-real estate appraisals? .....27-22

Q 27.15 Can a valuation expert’s fee be contingent upon the value ultimately determined, or the client’s success in the lawsuit? .....27-23

## Chapter 28 Engineering Experts

*Bert Black*

**Engineering vs. Science**.....28-2

Q 28.1 What is the definition of engineering? .....28-2

    Q 28.1.1 What is the difference between an engineer and a scientist?.....28-2

## Table of Contents

---

Q 28.1.2	How does the practice of engineering differ from the practice of science? .....	28-3
Q 28.1.3	What is the role of failure in engineering? .....	28-3
<b>Questions Addressed by Engineering Expert Witnesses</b> .....		28-4
Q 28.2	What kinds of questions do engineers address as experts? .....	28-4
<b>Engineer Testimony in Products Liability Cases</b> .....		28-5
Q 28.3	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on design defects? .....	28-5
Q 28.4	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on reasonable alternative designs? .....	28-7
Q 28.5	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on manufacturing defects? .....	28-8
Q 28.6	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on warnings? .....	28-10
<b>Engineer Testimony in Patent Cases</b> .....		28-12
Q 28.7	What kind of testimony do engineers provide in patent cases? .....	28-12
Q 28.7.1	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on claim construction? .....	28-12
Q 28.7.2	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on infringement? .....	28-13
Q 28.7.3	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on validity? .....	28-15
<b>Engineer Testimony Regarding Construction Contract Compliance</b> .....		28-16
Q 28.8	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on contract compliance issues, and does it properly warrant a <i>Daubert</i> analysis? .....	28-16
<b>Engineer Testimony Regarding the Validity of Engineering Tests</b> .....		28-19
Q 28.9	What kind of testimony do engineers provide on the validity of engineering tests? .....	28-19
<b>Qualifying Engineers as Experts</b> .....		28-20
Q 28.10	What factors determine if an engineering expert is qualified to testify? .....	28-20

## Chapter 29 Forensic Experts in Criminal Trials

*Derek Hollingsworth & Andy Drumheller*

<b>Forensic Science</b> .....	29-2
Q 29.1 What is forensic science and who are forensic experts? .....	29-2
Q 29.1.1 What subjects are regularly addressed by forensic experts? .....	29-3
Q 29.1.2 What emerging areas of forensic science are finding their way into criminal courtrooms? .....	29-4
<b>Need for Forensic Experts</b> .....	29-5
Q 29.2 Is a forensic expert even necessary in your case? .....	29-5
Q 29.2.1 What is the “CSI effect”? .....	29-6
Q 29.2.2 Is your proposed witness really a forensic expert? .....	29-7
Q 29.2.3 Can the existence of a confession taint forensic analysis? .....	29-8
Q 29.2.4 How should experts communicate the accuracy of their findings? .....	29-8
<b>Qualifications of a Forensic Expert</b> .....	29-9
Q 29.3 What factors should be considered in choosing a forensic expert? .....	29-9
Q 29.3.1 Can hiring the wrong forensic expert constitute ineffective assistance of counsel? .....	29-12
<b>Forensic Expert Gatekeeping</b> .....	29-12
Q 29.4 What areas of forensic science are challenged as unreliable and are mistakes being made? .....	29-12
Q 29.4.1 What steps can be taken to have an opposing expert excluded? .....	29-14
Q 29.4.2 How should trial strategy change when a forensic expert is scheduled to testify? .....	29-16
<b>Constitutional Issues</b> .....	29-17
Q 29.5 What constitutional issues do forensic experts present? .....	29-17
Q 29.5.1 Does the government’s reliance on information procured by a non-testifying expert violate the Confrontation Clause? .....	29-17
Q 29.5.2 Does an indigent defendant have a constitutional right to a publicly funded forensic expert? .....	29-18
Q 29.5.3 How can lawyers become more familiar with the bedrock subjects of forensic science? .....	29-19

## Chapter 30 The Expert in Fire Cases

Michele C. Barnes

<b>General</b> .....	30-3
Q 30.1 What types of cases require expert testimony relating to the cause and origin of fires? .....	30-3
<b>Fire Investigation Experts</b> .....	30-3
Q 30.2 What kinds of experts typically are involved in litigation involving fires?.....	30-3
<b>Investigation Methodologies</b> .....	30-4
Q 30.3 What does “origin and cause” mean, and what is a fire investigation?.....	30-4
<b>The Leading Standard—NFPA 921</b> .....	30-4
Q 30.4 What is the “gold standard” for measuring a fire expert’s methodology? .....	30-4
<i>Elements of the Standard</i> .....	30-6
Q 30.4.1 In general terms, what are the elements of the NFPA 921 methodology?.....	30-6
<i>Relationship to Daubert Gatekeeping</i> .....	30-7
Q 30.4.2 Does the NFPA 921 methodology comport with <i>Daubert</i> gatekeeping standards? .....	30-7
<i>Hypothesis Testing</i> .....	30-8
Q 30.4.3 Must the expert actually test her hypothesis?.....	30-8
Q 30.4.4 Must the expert rule out other potential causes of the fire?.....	30-9
<b>Expert Qualifications and Experience</b> .....	30-10
Q 30.5 What are some of the formal qualifications one should expect in a fire expert? .....	30-10
Q 30.6 What are some of the practical experiences that one should look for in a fire expert?.....	30-11
Q 30.6.1 To qualify, must the expert have knowledge or experience with the product or fire initiator at issue? .....	30-11

## Chapter 31 The Expert in Defamation Cases

*Anna Shabalov*

<b>Defamation Law Defined</b> .....	31-2
Q 31.1 What is defamation?.....	31-2
Q 31.1.1 What is a defamatory statement? .....	31-2
Q 31.1.2 What is an unprivileged publication to a third party? .....	31-3
Q 31.1.3 What are the levels of fault required?.....	31-3
Q 31.1.4 What makes a statement actionable irrespective of special harm?.....	31-4
Q 31.2 What damages are available in a defamation action?.....	31-4
Q 31.2.1 What limits exist on when presumed damages are recoverable? .....	31-5
<b>The Role of the Expert Witness in Defamation Cases</b> .....	31-6
Q 31.3 What role can an expert witness play in establishing or disputing the falsity of a statement?.....	31-6
Q 31.4 What role can an expert witness play in the determination of whether a statement is factual or opinion? .....	31-7
Q 31.5 What role can an expert witness play in establishing negligence?.....	31-8
Q 31.6 What role can an expert witness play in establishing actual malice? .....	31-8
<b>Expert Witness in Proving Damages</b> .....	31-9
Q 31.7 What role can an expert witness play in proving or disputing special damages? .....	31-9
<b>Gatekeeping Concerns</b> .....	31-10
Q 31.8 What concerns exist when an expert testifies in a defamation case?.....	31-10
<b>Special Considerations for Defamation Online</b> .....	31-11
Q 31.9 Do special protections exist for defamatory speech online?.....	31-11
Q 31.10 What role can an expert witness play in an online defamation case? .....	31-12

## Chapter 32 The Expert in Employment Cases

Christie A. Newkirk, Chelsea G. Glover &  
Jordan R. Brownlow

<b>In General</b> .....	32-2
Q 32.1 How are experts used in employment cases?.....	32-2
<b>Types of Plaintiffs' Experts</b> .....	32-3
Q 32.2 What types of experts might plaintiffs use in employment cases?.....	32-3
<i>Social Science Experts</i> .....	32-3
Q 32.2.1 What is the role of social science experts?.....	32-3
<i>Statisticians</i> .....	32-3
Q 32.2.2 What is the role of statisticians?.....	32-3
<i>Health Professionals</i> .....	32-4
Q 32.2.3 What is the role of physicians and other health professionals?.....	32-4
<i>Economists</i> .....	32-5
Q 32.2.4 What is the role of economists and related experts?.....	32-5
<i>Ultimate Issues of Fact</i> .....	32-5
Q 32.2.5 Can experts opine whether discrimination occurred under the facts of a particular case?.....	32-5
<i>Objections by Defendant</i> .....	32-6
Q 32.2.6 What objections might a defendant raise to a plaintiff's liability expert?.....	32-6
<b>Defense Experts</b> .....	32-7
Q 32.3 How might experts be used by a defendant in an employment discrimination case?.....	32-7
<i>Objections by Plaintiff</i> .....	32-8
Q 32.3.1 What objections might a plaintiff raise to a defense expert?.....	32-8

**Establishing Economic Damages**.....32-8

Q 32.4 How might experts be used to establish economic damages in an employment case?.....32-8

    Q 32.4.1 Is expert testimony required to establish economic damages in an employment case?.....32-10

**Establishing Emotional Distress Damages** .....32-11

Q 32.5 How might experts be used to establish mental anguish/emotional distress damages in an employment case? .....32-11

    Q 32.5.1 Is expert testimony required to establish mental anguish/emotional distress damages in an employment case?.....32-11

    Q 32.5.2 Can the plaintiff’s treating physician be called upon as an expert to support plaintiff’s claims for mental anguish/emotional distress? .....32-12

## **Chapter 33 Mental Health Experts in Child Custody Cases**

*John A. Zervopoulos*

**Role of Mental Health Experts in Child Custody Cases**.....33-2

Q 33.1 What is the role of mental health experts in child custody cases?.....33-2

**Qualifying the Expert** .....33-3

Q 33.2 What are the qualifications necessary for child custody experts? .....33-3

Q 33.3 What are the mental health specialties appropriate for child custody evaluations? .....33-4

    Q 33.3.1 What is a psychologist’s training and background? .....33-4

    Q 33.3.2 What is a licensed professional counselor’s training and background? .....33-5

    Q 33.3.3 What is a social worker’s training and background? .....33-5

    Q 33.3.4 What is a psychiatrist’s training and background?.....33-5

**Determining the Right Expert for a Case**.....33-6

Q 33.4 How can you determine the right expert who will fit a particular case? .....33-6

Q 33.5 Are there special biases that may arise with family law experts? .....33-7

## Table of Contents

---

<i>Court-Appointed Evaluators</i> .....	33-7
Q 33.6 What is the role of the court-appointed evaluator?.....	33-7
<i>Retained Experts</i> .....	33-8
Q 33.7 What are considerations in retaining an expert for a party?.....	33-8
Q 33.7.1 What are considerations in retaining an expert to review the court-appointed evaluator? .....	33-9
Q 33.7.2 What are considerations in retaining an expert to evaluate the client? .....	33-9
Q 33.7.3 How can the attorney counter the “hired-gun” perception of a retained expert? .....	33-9
<i>Educative Experts</i> .....	33-10
Q 33.7.4 What are considerations in retaining an educative expert? .....	33-10
<i>Daubert and Mental Health Testimony Standards</i> .....	33-11
Q 33.8 How should family lawyers deal with <i>Daubert</i> or state gatekeeping issues?.....	33-11
Q 33.8.1 What are state law gatekeeping concerns?.....	33-13
<b>Best Interest of the Child</b> .....	33-14
Q 33.9 What are the issues with “the best interest of the child” standard?.....	33-14
<b>The Child Custody Evaluation</b> .....	33-15
Q 33.10 What are concerns with the child custody evaluation? .....	33-15
Q 33.10.1 What is the role of professional practice guidelines and standards? .....	33-16
Q 33.10.2 How can the <i>PLAN Model</i> be used to critique a custody evaluation? .....	33-17
<b>Appendix A 2000 Amendment to FRE 701           plus Committee Note</b> .....	App. A-1
<b>Appendix B 2000 Amendment to FRE 702           plus Committee Note</b> .....	App. B-1

**Appendix C 2000 Amendment to FRE 703  
plus Committee Note.....**App. C-1

**Appendix D 2022 Amendment to FRE 702  
plus Committee Note.....**App. D-1

**Index.....**I-1